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What an exciting week this will be! Thanks to the hard work and dedication of our Program Committee, we have a jam-packed program of cutting-edge content sure to engage and enlighten early career and seasoned rehabilitation professionals alike.

Thanks to so many of you, the ACRM-ASNR Progress in Rehabilitation Research is no longer the best kept secret in rehabilitation. As we come together this week in Atlanta, we are making history. This is our biggest annual conference ever! We hope you’ll agree it is also the best place to learn the latest in innovative rehabilitation research, technology and evidence-based practice.

This year, we offer six full-day, concentrated study pre-conference courses and an Early Career Development Course for those seeking intensive programming. We thank Shepherd Center and Emory University for hosting two of those offsite sessions. Turn to page 15 for details.

We are also fortunate to have with us this year, six nationally and international recognized plenary speakers and lecturers. They are:

- Randolph J. Nudo, PhD, Kansas University Medical Center, Kansas City, KS
- Donald G. Stein, PhD, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA
- Michael Weinrich, MD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD
- Carolee Winstein, PhD, PT, FAPTA, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
- David W. Wright, MD, FACEP, Emory University, Atlanta, GA
- Keith D. Cicerone, PhD, ABPP-Cm, FACRM

The educational content of this meeting is truly outstanding, covering all aspects of rehabilitation and bringing researchers and clinicians together to improve the lives of people living with disabilities and those who care for them. Don’t miss this opportunity to earn continuing education credit by registering for CME / CEU credit at the Registration Desk.

Your Program Committee worked diligently to bring the best possible meeting to Atlanta and we are pleased to report that in direct response to your 2010 meeting evaluations, this year you will find:

- More symposia focused on stroke;
- Greater emphasis on knowledge translation;
- CME / CEU certificates are now available online; and
- A fully-accessible venue.

Year after year you tell us the most valuable aspect of this meeting is the opportunity to network with rehabilitation colleagues across disciplines and national borders, so we’re expecting our most popular event, the President’s Reception and Awards Gala, to sell out again this year! We’ll meet at the spectacular Georgia Aquarium to honor excellence in our field and enjoy an evening of camaraderie and fine food prepared by the legendary Wolfgang Puck. Private admission to the world’s largest aquarium is included. Tickets are still available at the Registration Desk, but they won’t be for long...

Now is a perfect time to check out our Special Interest and Networking Groups, and get involved. Please review the At-a-Glance beginning on page 10, for a list of dates, times and special luncheon programming. Reserve your tickets at the Registration Desk.

And finally, we are delighted to announce that the next ACRM-ASNR Progress in Rehabilitation Research will meet in beautiful Vancouver, BC as we return to Canada in October 2012.

So many people have worked tirelessly to deliver the right mix of specialty focus, scholarship, interdisciplinary exchange, research and practical application in clinical practice to this educational program. We thank them for their commitment to ACRM, ASNR, and to the field of rehabilitation medicine.

We hope you enjoy the experience!

Very best regards,

Gary R. Ulicny, PhD
ACRM President

Anna M Barrett, MD
ASNR President
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Copyright Considerations

Any reproduction or distribution of conference symposia content requires written permission of the original authors or content owners.

Photo Release

Registration and attendance at, or participation in, the 2011 ACRM-ASNR Progress in Rehabilitation Research constitutes an agreement by the registrant to the use and distribution (both now and in the future) of the registrant or attendee’s image or voice in photographs, videotapes, electronic reproductions and audiotapes of such events and activities to illustrate and promote the conference experience.
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NEW! Online Delivery of CME/CE/CEU Certificates

In addition to more certifications, 2011 attendees gain 24/7 access from their computer to submit course evaluation forms and download certificates. Certificates will be awarded to those participants who attend the conference, sign the session rosters, and complete an evaluation form. The number of continuing education credits/contact hours/units awarded will be based upon the number of conference hours attended and the requirements of the specific accrediting organizations.

Continuing Education

Attendees of the 2011 ACRM-ASNR Progress in Rehabilitation Research Annual Conference may earn continuing education credits by participating in pre-conference courses, plenary sessions, symposia, oral poster sessions, the Stroke Networking Group Luncheon, the Brucker International Luncheon, the SCI-SIG Luncheon Meeting and the ACRM John Stanley Coulter Lecture.

Statement of Need

Interprofessional exchange, interaction, and cooperation are the cornerstones of optimal patient care. Educational interventions that promote interprofessional learning and collaboration are needed to advance clinical and scientific research and its subsequent translation to clinical practice. The 2011 ACRM-ASNR Progress in Rehabilitation Research Annual Conference will bring together national and international experts, across multiple specialties, with the common goal of sharing current and future research as well as evidence-based interventions relevant to health care professionals in physical medicine and rehabilitation.

Learning Objectives

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Identify current and future research in rehabilitation medicine.
2. Discuss recent research findings and their potential impact on the clinical care of rehabilitation patients.
3. Apply evidence-based knowledge and skills to enhancing patient care.
4. Identify strengths and weaknesses in the evidence base for treatment approaches to rehabilitation medicine.
5. Identify fundamental issues in ethics, cultural diversity, and evidence-based practice as applied to rehabilitation medicine.

Intended Audience

This activity is intended for physiatrists, physical therapists, occupational therapists, speech pathologists, rehabilitation nurses, psychologists, rehabilitation case managers, rehabilitation counselors, disability specialists, and other professionals serving the field of rehabilitation medicine.

Method of Participation

In order to claim credit, participants must:

- Register for continuing education on the registration form.
- Pay the CE single processing fee ($60).
- Sign the attendance form located at the entrance to each CE activity.
- Attend the live CE activities.
- Complete the CE Evaluation Form online.

Upon successful completion of the online evaluation form, you can instantly download and print your certificate of credit. Login information will be sent to you via e-mail if you have registered for continuing education.
Physician Continuing Medical Education  
27.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT: This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the Essential Areas and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) through the joint sponsorship of the Elsevier Office of Continuing Medical Education, the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine (ACRM), and the American Society for Neurorehabilitation (ASNR). The Elsevier Office of Continuing Medical Education is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

DESIGNATION STATEMENT: The Elsevier Office of Continuing Medical Education designates this live activity for a maximum of 27.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: As a sponsor accredited by the ACCME, it is the policy of the Elsevier Office of Continuing Medical Education (EOCME) to require the disclosure of anyone who is in position to control the content of an education activity including faculty, authors, editors and planning committee members. All relevant financial relationships with any commercial interests and/or manufacturers must be disclosed to participants at the beginning of each activity.

Registered Nurse/Rehabilitation Nurse Continuing Education  
33.3 Contact Hours

Dannemiller is a provider approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing, Provider Number 4229. This activity is designated for 33.3 contact hours.

RNs outside California must verify with their licensing agency for approval of this course.

Psychology Continuing Education  
27.5 APA Credits

This Conference is approved for a maximum of 27.50 hours of continuing education. APA Division 22, Rehabilitation Psychology is approved by the American Psychological Association to sponsor continuing education for psychologists. APA Division 22 maintains responsibility for this program and its content.

DIVISION OF REHABILITATION PSYCHOLOGY
Division 22/American Psychological Association

Occupational Therapy Continuing Education  
27.75 CEUs

Dannemiller is an American Occupational Therapy Association (AOTA) Approved Provider of continuing education. “The assignment of AOTA CEUs does not imply endorsement of specific course content, products, or clinical procedures by AOTA.”

AOTA CEUs: 2.75 (27.75 Contact Hours)

Speech-Language-Hearing Therapy Continuing Education  
2.75 CEUs

The University of Maryland is approved by the Continuing Education Board of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) to provide continuing education activities in speech-language pathology and audiology. This program is offered for up to 2.75 ASHA CEUs. (Intermediate level, Related area.)

Case Manager Continuing Education  
27.75 CEUs

The 2011 ACRM-ASNR Annual Meeting has been pre-approved by the Commission for Case Manager Certification (CCMC) to provide continuing education credit to Certified Case Managers (CCMs). Maximum clock hours available is 27.75 hours.

Rehabilitation Counselor Continuing Education  
27.75 CEUs

The 2011 ACRM-ASNR Annual Meeting has been pre-approved by the Certified Rehabilitation Counselor Certification Commission (CRCC®) to provide continuing education credit to Rehabilitation Counselors. Maximum clock hours available is 27.75 clock hours.

Disability Management Specialist Continuing Education  
27.75 CEUs

The 2011 ACRM-ASNR Annual Meeting has been pre-approved by the Certification of Disability Management Specialists Commission to provide continuing education credit to Disability Management Specialists. Maximum clock hours available is 27.75 clock hours.

Physical Therapy Continuing Education  
27.75 CEUs

The Georgia State Board of Physical Therapy does not pre-approve courses or providers. This conference has been designed to meet the continuing education requirements of the Georgia State Board of Physical Therapy. Full attendance qualifies for 27.75 clock hours.
Thank You Conference Sponsors

Please extend your gratitude for the generous support of these organizations...

**PLATINUM**
- Shepherd Center
- Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

**GOLD**
- Bioness
- Elsevier
- Rusk Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine

**SILVER**
- Accord Services
- Alfred Mann Foundation
- ATG Rehab
- Burke Rehabilitation Hospital
- Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta
- Children’s Hospital of Atlanta
- HIMformatics
- IU School of Medicine/Dept of PMR
- JFK-Johnson Rehabilitation Institute
- Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation
- Mt. Sinai School of Medicine
- Santa Clara Valley Medical Center
- Spaulding Rehabilitation Network

**BRONZE**
- American Psychiatric Publishing, Division of APA
- Atlanta Brewing Company
- Beechwood Rehabilitation Services
- Braintree Rehabilitation Hospital
- CARF
- Juli Medical
- Magstim Company
- Paradigm Management Services
- The Ohio State University
- TIRR Memorial Hermann
- Touchstone Neurecovery Center

---

**SPECIAL THANKS** to the **Shepherd Center**, primary sponsor of the President’s Reception and Henry B. Betts Awards Gala at the Georgia Aquarium Friday night. See page 12 for more info.

**SPECIAL THANKS** to the **Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago**, sustaining sponsor of the Henry B. Betts Awards Gala.
EXHIBITORS

Exhibitor Welcome Reception with Poster Viewing and Outstanding Poster Awards Presentation

LOCATION: Thursday, October 13, 6:00 PM – 7:00 PM
Grand Hall East

EXHIBITS OPEN: Friday, October 14, 8:00 AM – 6:30 PM
Saturday, October 15, 8:00 AM – 10:30 AM

Allergan
www.allergan.com

American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine (ACRM)
www.acrm.org
Representatives: Jenny Richard, Judy Reuter
11654 Plaza America Drive #535
Reston, VA 20190
317-471-8760

American Psychiatric Publishing, Division of APA
www.appi.org
Representative: Adam Barett
1000 Wilson Blvd, Suite 1825
Arlington, VA 22209
1-800-368-5777

American Society of Neurorehabilitation (ASNR)
www.asnr.com
Representative: Shannon Wild
5841 Cedar Lake Road, Suite 204
Minneapolis, MN 55416
952-543-5349

Bioness
www.bioness.com
Representative:
25103 Rye Canyon Loop
Valencia, CA 91355

Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta
www.choa.org/rehab
Representative: Jackie Hendon
1001 Johnson Ferry Road
Atlanta, GA
404-790-6355

Cleveland FES Center
www.neurotechnetwork.org
Representative: Jennifer French, MBA
11000 Cedar Avenue, Suite 230
Cleveland, OH 44106
216-231-3257

Elsevier
www.elsevierhealth.com
Representative: TBD
1600 JFK Blvd, Suite 1800
Philadelphia, PA
215-239-3491

GAITRite-CIR Systems
www.gaitrite.com
Representative: Victoria Light
60 Garlor Dr.
Havertown, PA
610-449-4879

Learning Services
www.learningservices.com
Representatives: Kitti Chisholm, Michel Weaver
10 Speen Street
Framingham, MA 0170
919-630-6125

Medtronic Neuromodulation
MYOMO, Inc.
www.myomo.com
Representatives: Ela Lewis, Michael Quzor, Amy Boos
One Broadway 14th Floor
Cambridge, MA 02142
508-728-8336

Pate Rehabilitation
www.pate-rehabilitation.com
Representative: Julie Jacoby
2655 Villa Creek Suite 140
Dallas, TX 75234
972-241-9334

Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital
www.spauldingnetwork.org
Representative: Dianne Lamb
125 Nashua St
Boston, MA 02114
617-573-2004

Thomas Land Publishers, Inc.
www.thomasland.com
Representative: Kenneth Killion, Publisher
255 Jefferson Road
St. Louis, MA 63119
314-963-7445

Touchstone Neurorecovery Center
www.nhs ltd.com
Representative: Ann Langenheim-de la Rosa
9297 Wahrenberger Road
Conroe, TX 77304
281-543-8695

YouRehab Ltd
www.yourehab.com
Representative: Nagisa Kobashi, Oliver Ullmann
Technoparkstr. 1, 8005
Zurich, Switzerland

Santa Clara Valley Medical Center
www.tbi-sci.org
Representative: Stephanie Kolakowsky-Hayner
Rehabilitation Research Center
751 S Bascom Ave
San Jose, CA 95128
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Regency Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 6:00 PM</td>
<td>CDC Workshop</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 PM – 8:00 PM</td>
<td>Early Career Development Course Reception</td>
<td>Peachtree St Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 12</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Regency Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 8:00 AM</td>
<td><strong>BREAK FOR PRE-CONFERENCE SESSIONS</strong></td>
<td>Outside meeting space(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 8:30 AM</td>
<td>Early Career Development Course Breakfast</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM – 5:15 PM</td>
<td>Early Career Development Course Meeting</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> Spinal Cord Injury: New Directions in Assessment, Repair &amp; Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Offsite @ Shepherd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> Quality Measures for Rehabilitation: Policy, Provider and Patient Perspectives</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> NIH R24 Infrastructure Networks: Providing Resources for Rehabilitation Research</td>
<td>Regency VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> Assessing the Quality &amp; Applicability of Systematic Reviews using a Systematic Approach</td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 12:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation: Current Applications in the Neurorehabilitation of Patients After Stroke</td>
<td>Chicago A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>Courtland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 AM – 10:45 AM</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td>Outside meeting space(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 PM – 2:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>Pre-Conference Symposia:</strong> Practical Demonstration of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) Techniques in Workshops</td>
<td>Offsite @ Emory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 1:00 PM</td>
<td>NIH R24 INFRASTRUCTURE NETWORKS LUNCH</td>
<td>Outside meeting space (Regency VI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 12:30 PM</td>
<td>E A R L Y C A R E E R D E V E L O P M E N T L U N C H</td>
<td>Outside meeting space (Centennial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td>LUNCH (ON OWN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 PM – 4:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td>Outside meeting space(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 PM – 8:30 PM</td>
<td>ASNR Board meeting</td>
<td>Inman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 PM – 7:00 PM</td>
<td>ACRM Outcomes &amp; Measurement Networking Group</td>
<td>Chicago A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**atlas**a AT-A-GLANCE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Regency Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 PM – 7:00 PM</td>
<td>Exhibits OPEN</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 PM – 7:00 PM</td>
<td>Poster Displays</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM – 8:00 AM</td>
<td>Archives Editors Meeting</td>
<td>Chicago B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 9:00 AM</td>
<td>BI-ISIG Prognosis After TBI Task Force</td>
<td>Chicago A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 8:30 AM</td>
<td>Welcome Remarks</td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM – 10:00 AM</td>
<td>PLENARY SESSIONS: The Future of Clinical Trials in Stroke Neurorehabilitation: Rationale, Challenges &amp; Opportunities for Innovative Approaches</td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM – 10:30 AM</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>Regency Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM – 11:00 AM</td>
<td>ACRM International Committee Meeting</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 AM – 12:00 PM</td>
<td>ASNR LECTURES: ASNR Outstanding Neurorehabilitation Clinician Scientist and Kenneth M. Viste, Jr., MD Award Lectures</td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td>Stroke Networking Group Luncheon — Ticketed Event</td>
<td>Regency VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td>Neurorehabilitation &amp; Neural Repair Editorial Meeting</td>
<td>Dunwoody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td>Brucker International Luncheon — Ticketed Event</td>
<td>Courtland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 1:00 PM</td>
<td>BI-ISIG Community-Based Treatment Task Force</td>
<td>Chicago A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td>Sheldon Berrol Memorial Chautauqua Lecture: Insults Real and Imagined: A Clinical and Scientific Inquiry into Mild TBI, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, and Post-Concussive Syndrome</td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA: • Outcomes from a Health Care Home for Persons with Disabilities</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 PM – 3:30 PM</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 PM – 5:00 PM</td>
<td>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA: • Life Transitions and Rehabilitation: From Case Studies to Epidemiology</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 PM – 7:00 PM</td>
<td>Exhibitor Welcome Reception and Presentation of Outstanding Poster Awards</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 PM – 7:30 PM</td>
<td>ACRM-ASNR Board of Directors Social</td>
<td>Dunwoody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 PM – 9:00 PM</td>
<td>ASNR Early Participants Reception</td>
<td>ASNR Suite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Regency Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM – 6:30 PM</td>
<td>Exhibits OPEN</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 AM – 6:30 PM</td>
<td>Poster Displays</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 PM – 6:30 PM</td>
<td>Poster Authors Present</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 AM – 8:00 AM</td>
<td>BREAK prior to start</td>
<td>Grand Hall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 AM – 8:15 AM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA — BREAKFAST SESSIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Specificity of Exercise for Stroke Rehabilitation: a Debate</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Disparities in Health Outcomes after TBI and SCI</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Screening for Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Strategies for Designing Wheeled Mobility and Seating Intervention Studies</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 AM – 8:30 AM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM – 10:00 AM</td>
<td>PLENARY SESSIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PART 1: Progesterone and Brain Injury: What’s Next?</strong></td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PART 2: In Search of a Clinical Treatment for Acute Traumatic Brain Injury:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Hope of Progesterone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM – 10:30 AM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 AM – 12:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Current Science and Controversy in Sports Concussion</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Approaches to Neural Regeneration: Implications for Neurorehabilitation</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Providing Evidence-Based Prognoses for Persons with Brain Injury and Their Families</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Raising Expectations for Gait Recovery after Stroke: An Evidence-Based Approach</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• World Health Organization Report on Disability</td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td>LUNCH (ON OWN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM – 1:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Spinal Cord Injury Special Interest Group (SCI-SIG) Luncheon Meeting — Ticketed Event</strong></td>
<td>Courtland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td>ACRM Membership Meeting</td>
<td>Regency VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td>ASNR Membership Meeting</td>
<td>Regency VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM – 3:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Technology to Advance Mobility Outcomes in Rehabilitation Research</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: Translating Research into Clinical Practice</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Collaborative Research Network Development: Prism Adaptation for Spatial Neglect</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Variations in Inpatient TBI Rehabilitation by Age: Patients, Treatments, Outcomes</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 PM – 3:30 PM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>Regency Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 PM – 5:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Making Evidence-Based Treatments Accessible via Tele-Health</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Medicine at the Nanoscale: Implications for Neurorehabilitation</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Evaluation and Treatment of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Using Regulated Exercise.</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Patient Engagement in Acute and Post-Acute TBI Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 PM – 5:30 PM</td>
<td><strong>Oral Presentation of Scientific Papers &amp; Deborah L. Wilkerson Award Winner</strong></td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation Efficacy in Acute Stroke Tube Dependent Dysphagia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stepping-Out: Psychosocial and Functional Impact of a 12-Week Home-Based Physical Activity Program After Brain Injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Efficacy of “Care Call” Telerehabilitation Intervention for Persons with Spinal Cord Dysfunction: Randomized Controlled Trial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Excessive Central Fatigue Limits Motor Function of Cancer Survivors with Fatigue Symptom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Empowerment/Engagement: Front-line Clinicians Enhance the Research Process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Results of the Citicoline Brain Injury Treatment (CO BRIT) Trial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 PM – 6:00 PM</td>
<td>BI-ISIG Girls &amp; Women with Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Task Force</td>
<td>Courtland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 PM – 11:00 PM</td>
<td>President’s Reception and Henry B. Betts Awards Gala — Ticketed Event</td>
<td>Georgia Aquarium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SATURDAY, OCTOBER 15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Regency Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM</td>
<td>COFFEE AT START</td>
<td>Outside Meeting room(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td><strong>ACRM John Stanley Coulter Lecture</strong>: Facts, Theories, Values:</td>
<td>Chicago A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shaping the Course of Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>Exhibits OPEN</td>
<td>Regency V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 AM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>Regency Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 AM</td>
<td><strong>NIDRR Fellow Presentation</strong></td>
<td>Dunwoody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Relationship of Pre-Injury Coping on Outcomes in Adults with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Recovery of Overground Locomotion via Targeted Robotic Gait Training in Rats with SCI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Substance Use in Young Adults with Pediatric-Onset Spinal Cord Injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Toward a Practical Brain-Computer Interface for Individuals with Severe Motor Disabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Screening for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Comparison of Two Distinct Approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 AM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA</strong></td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Spinal Cord Injury and Caregiving: Who Needs Help?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Life and Death in the Aging Brain after a TBI</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Military Rehabilitation Research: Implications for Military and Civilian Rehabilitation Practice</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Exercise After Stroke: Clinical Models, Cardiometabolic Health &amp; Community Translation</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 AM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA</strong></td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Restoration and Compensation in Brain Injury &amp; Stroke Rehabilitation Therapies: Physical, Cognitive, and Language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Activity-Based Therapies in Spinal Cord Injury</td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Part 1: Medical and Behavioral Complexity of Persons with Disorders of Consciousness</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Measuring the Impact of Cognitive Deficits in the Real World</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• New Advances in Assessing Safety Risk Following Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 PM</td>
<td>LUNCH (ON OWN)</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 PM</td>
<td><strong>CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA</strong></td>
<td>Hanover A &amp; B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Updating the Neurological Classification for Prognosis in Traumatic SCI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Part 2: Medical and Behavioral Complexity of Persons with Disorders of Consciousness</td>
<td>Hanover D &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Principles of Community-Based Residential Care for Persons with TBI</td>
<td>Hanover C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implementing Engaged Scholarship in Rehabilitation Research</td>
<td>Hanover F &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 PM</td>
<td>ACRM Board Meeting</td>
<td>Dunwoody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 PM</td>
<td>ACRM Board Meeting</td>
<td>Dunwoody</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUNDAY, OCTOBER 16**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>ACRM Board Meeting</td>
<td>Dunwoody</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Look for ★SPECIAL EVENT in the daily schedule (pages 16 – 37) for complete event details.

**Tuesday October 11**

Center for Disease Control (CDC) Workshop on Emerging Rehabilitation Modalities (By Invitation)
7:30 AM – 6:00 PM / Hong Kong

Early Career Development Course Reception (ECD Attendees Only)
6:00 PM – 8:00 PM / Peachtree Street Plaza

**Thursday October 13**

ACRM Stroke Networking Group Luncheon // Ticketed Event
12:00 PM to 1:30 PM / Regency VI

Brucker International Luncheon // Ticketed Event
12:15 PM – 1:30 PM / Courtland

ASNR Lectures: ASNR Outstanding Neurorehabilitation Clinician Scientist and Kenneth M. Viste, Jr., MD Award Lectures
8:00 AM – 9:00 AM / Chicago A & B

SHELDON BERROL MEMORIAL CHAUTAUQUA LECTURE:
Insults Real and Imagined: A Clinical and Scientific Inquiry into Mild TBI, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, and Post-Concussive Syndrome
1:00 PM – 3:00 PM / Regency VII

Exhibitor Welcome Reception with Poster Viewing and Outstanding Poster Awards Presentatio
6:00 PM – 7:00 PM / Grand Hall East

ASNR Early Participants Reception
7:30 PM – 9:00 PM / ASNR Suite

**Friday, October 14**

ACRM Membership Meeting
1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / Regency VII

ASNR Membership Meeting
1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / Regency VI

BI-ISIG Girls & Women with Traumatic Brain Injury Task Force Meeting
4:00 PM – 6:00 PM / Courtland

**Saturday, October 15**

ACRM Board of Governors Meeting
2:00 PM – 5:45 PM / Dunwoody

**Sunday, October 16**

ACRM Board of Governors Meeting
8:00 AM – 12:15 PM / Dunwoody

ACRM John Stanley Coulter Lecture
8:00 AM – 9:00 AM / Chicago A & B
Please join us!

EARLY CAREER DEVELOPMENT RECEPTION
Tuesday evening, October 11
6:00 PM – 8:00 PM
Peachtree St Plaza

Meet mentors, senior scientists, participants and event organizers at this friendly, easy-to-enjoy social event.

COURSE DIRECTOR: Claire Kalpakiian, PhD
FACULTY: Theresa Ashman, PhD, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY; Barbara Bregman, PhD, Georgetown University, Washington, DC; Alex Dromerick, MD, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC; Jeanne Hoffman, PhD, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, Stephanie Kolakowski-Hayner, PhD, CBIST, Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, San Jose, CA; Jim Malec, PhD, FACRM, Indiana University School of Medicine/Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN; Sue Ann Sisto, PhD, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY; Elizabeth Skidmore, PhD, OT R/L, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; Jill Wecht, EdD, James Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, NY; Carolee Winstein, PhD, PT, FAPTA, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; Cindy Harrison-Felix, PhD, Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO

EARLY CAREER DEVELOPMENT COURSE
Wednesday, October 12

8:00 AM – 5:15 PM

Navigating Your Road to Independence: Lessons Learned from Seasoned Travelers

Does your early career seem like an obstacle course or treadmill training? Curious how successful senior colleagues coped with early failures and successes? Want strategies to connect and build a productive career as an independent researcher?

The 2011 Early Career Course delivers real-world solutions to the challenges of launching and growing a noteworthy research career from seasoned rehabilitation scientists who traveled the same road and arrived successfully. Tap this unique wellspring of insight, experience and wisdom as you mingle with senior and mid-career colleagues—and even a few pioneers in rehabilitation research.

EDUCATION SESSIONS
1) Apply for Learner’s Permit: Select, Approach and Engage in Responsible Relationships with Mentors and Collaborators
2) Getting Behind the Wheel: Road Map to Successfully Negotiate Faculty Positions and Research Resources
3) Navigating the Early to Mid-Career Turnpike: Getting the Green Light for Promotion and Tenure
4) Fellow Travelers on the Highway of Life: Time Management, Family Management and Maintaining Balance

MENTORING PROGRAM
Participants are matched with senior scientists who share similar interests. Enjoy one-on-one time during a mentoring lunch. This is a special opportunity for career mentoring during and after the course. A popular favorite!
Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

8:00 AM – 5:30 PM

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Juliet Haarbauer-Krupa, PhD, CCC, Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA

**FACULTY:** Stacy Suskauer, MD, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; Lyn Turkstra, PhD, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI; Gillian Hotz, PhD, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL; Keith Yeates, PhD, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, Columbus, OH; Linda Ewing-Cobbs, PhD, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX; Roberta DePompei, PhD, University of Akron, Akron, OH; Gerry Taylor, PhD, University Hospitals Medical Center, Cleveland, OH; Shari Wade, PhD, Children’s Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH; Jeanne Dice-lewis, PhD, Children’s Hospital of Colorado, Aurora, CO; Bonnie Todis, PhD, Western Oregon University, Corvallis, OR; Joseph Marcantuono, PhD

This course offers two main topics in pediatric brain injury rehabilitation:

1) Impact of acquired brain injuries on the developing brain
2) Evidence-based treatment interventions for children and youth across the lifespan.

Participants will learn about:

- Research findings on the impact of a neurologic insult on the developing brain.
- Impact of brain injury on parents and evidence-based interventions for parents and caregivers.
- Assessment and intervention for disorders of consciousness in children.
- Issues related to school re-entry and long-term educational pathways.
- Research on novel technology interventions.
- Transition to adulthood and vocational outcomes for teens with acquired brain injuries.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the impact of traumatic brain injuries on the developing brain in pediatric patients.
2. Select and incorporate evidence-based treatment interventions for pediatric patients with traumatic brain injuries.

NIH R24 Infrastructure Networks: Providing Resources for Rehabilitation Research

8:00 AM – 5:30 PM

**COURSE DIRECTORS:** Kenneth J. Ottenbacher, PhD, OTR, FACRM, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX

**FACULTY:** Jonathan Bean, MD, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Boston, MA; Paolo Bonato, PhD, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Boston, MA; Roger Fielding, PhD, Tufts University, Boston, MA; James E. Graham, PhD, DC, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; Alan M. Jette, PhD, MPH, PT, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA; Amol Karmarkar, PhD, OTR, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX; Chantele Singleton, MS, Boston University, Boston, MA

This course provides resources for rehabilitation researchers in two areas: (1) selecting appropriate outcome measures for clinical research and (2) conducting research using large datasets. These topics are presented in a full-day course with two complimentary, but distinct, components. Participants may attend either or both sessions.

The morning session explores opportunities to identify the skills necessary to conduct outcomes research using large administrative or research databases.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the purpose and mission of the NIH R24 Infrastructure Network Program.
2. Identify the services available through the R24 Infrastructure Networks/Centers focused on outcome research.
3. Describe how to apply for pilot funding, training opportunities, and visiting scientist positions.
4. Discuss basic issues and challenges in conducting outcome research using secondary analysis of large datasets.

The afternoon session explores optimizing selection of outcome measures in rehabilitation clinical research using a case-based approach.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Discuss advances in rehabilitation outcomes measures from three distinct approaches: patient-reported outcomes, performance-based measures, and instrumented outcome measures.
2. Describe critical considerations in selecting outcome measures: capturing dimensions impacted by the intervention; responsiveness to the effects of the intervention; establishing validity in the targeted population; and feasibility.
3. Using real-life clinical research problems presented in case studies, participants should be able to select and justify the appropriate type and combination of outcome measures from the three measurement approaches.
Spinal Cord Injury: New Directions in Assessment, Repair, and Rehabilitation

8:00 AM – 5:30 PM

(Offsite Location) Transportation provided to Shepherd Center, the nation’s largest hospital dedicated to spinal cord injury. Transportation from Meeting Hotel to Shepherd Center at 7:30 AM and 11:30 AM. Transportation from Shepherd Center to Meeting Hotel at 2:00 PM and 6:00 PM.

COURSE DIRECTORS: Keith Tansey, MD, PhD, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; Deborah Backus, PhD, PT, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

FACULTY: Linda Jones, PT, MS, Geron Corporation Menlo Park, CA; Karl Johe, PhD, Neuralstem, Inc, Rockville, MD; Wise Young, MD, PhD, SCI Net USA; Armin Curt, MD, University Hospital Balgrist of Zürich, Switzerland; Maria Knikou, PhD, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; Art Sherwood, PhD, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; Gail Forest, PhD, Kessler Foundation, West Orange, NJ; Hunter Peckham, PhD, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH; Arthur Prochazka, PhD, Institution, Canada University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, CA; Milos Popovic, PhD, Toronto Rehabilitation, Toronto, ON, CA; Therese E. Johnston, PT, PhD, MBA, Assistant Professor, University of the Sciences, Philadelphia, PA; MJ Mulcahey, OT, PhD, Shriners Hospitals for Children, Philadelphia, PA; Susie Charlifue, PhD, Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO; Mike Jones, PhD, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA; Gale Whiteneck, PhD, Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO

This course will bring together an international group of experts to discuss advances in restoration and rehabilitation research in spinal cord injury.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Advances in clinical trials aimed at repair after SCI;
2. Neurophysiological assessments that may be useful in defining changes in neural function with repair or rehabilitation strategies;
3. Strategies for augmenting upper and lower limb neural and functional recovery in people with SCI;
4. Issues related to performing research along the continuum of recovery, as well as during the development and aging processes in people with SCI; and
5. Research methods that may be employed to advance rehabilitation research in SCI.

Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation: Current Applications in the Neurorehabilitation of Patients After Stroke

8:00 AM – 12:00 PM

COURSE DIRECTORS: Cathrin M. Buete Fisch, MD, PhD, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; Leonardo G. Cohen, MD, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Bethesda, MD

FACULTY: H. Branch Coslett, MD, William B Kelley Prof of Neurology, Philadelphia, PA; M. Oliveri, University of Palermo, Italy; Gottfried Schlaug, MD, PhD, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; George F. Wittenberg, MD, PhD, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

This course covers the following topics:

1. Neurophysiological measures after injury to the motor cortex and its projections.
2. TMS protocols: their merits and their pitfalls.
3. Two competing hemispheres? TMS protocols for measurement and modulation of interhemispheric inhibition.
4. Enhancing motor recovery after stroke: rTMS versus Transcranial Direct Cortical Stimulation (tDCS).
5. rTMS and tDCS in the treatment of aphasia.
6. rTMS protocols in the evaluation and treatment of neglect.

Practical Demonstration of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) Techniques in Workshops

LIMITED TO 20 PARTICIPANTS

12:30 PM – 2:00 PM

(Offsite Location) Transportation provided to Emory University.

INSTRUCTORS: Cathrin M. Buetefisch, MD, PhD, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; Andrew J. Butler, PhD, Emory University, Atlanta, GA
Assessing the Quality and Applicability of Systematic Reviews Using a Systematic Approach

8:00 AM – 5:30 PM

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Marcel Dijkers, PhD, FACRM, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

**FACULTY:** Tamara Bushnik, PhD, FACRM, Rusk Institute for Rehabilitation Medicine, New York, NY; Allen Heinemann, PhD, ABPP (RP), FACRM, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL; Alexander Libin, PhD, MS, MedStar Health Research Institute, Washington, DC; Mark Sherer, PhD, FACRM, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

Clinicians, researchers and policy makers, who more and more are forced to rely on systematic reviews because of the ever-increasing professional literature, will finish the course with a better understanding of systematic reviews, and a tool to help them critically evaluate any reviews they may consider applying to their work.

Utilizing a combination of lectures and workgroups, this course will:

- Describe the process of creating systematic reviews.
- Present and review a checklist and accompanying manual to help participants evaluate the applicability and quality of systematic reviews (including meta-analyses).
- Explain the reasons for various elements.
- Enable participants to practice using the checklist by evaluating a rehabilitation-relevant systematic review.

The course will not deal with the actual translation of systematic review results to practice, other than addressing the questions: is this review applicable to my question, and does it address the problem, population (etc.) that I deal with.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the importance of systematic reviews in contemporary clinical care, research and program administration.
2. Elucidate the steps in a systematic review, and the pitfalls in each step of which reviewers and potential users (readers) need to be aware.
3. Explain the nature of the checklist components and why these elements are important.
4. Use the checklist, applying it to a published systematic review.

Quality Measures for Rehabilitation: Policy, Provider and Patient Perspectives

8:00 AM – 5:30 PM

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Anne Deutsch, RN, PhD, CRRN, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL

**FACULTY:** Helen Burstin, MD, MPH, The National Quality Forum, Washington, DC; Gerben DeJong, PhD, FACRM, National Rehabilitation Hospital, Washington, DC; Barbara Gage, PhD, Research Triangle Institute, Washington, DC; Allen W. Heinemann, PhD, ABPP (RP), FACRM, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL; Kwang-Youn Kim, PhD, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; Holly DeMark Neumann, MPP, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL; Kenneth J. Ottenbacher, PhD, OTR, FACRM, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX; Suzanne Snyder, MBA, PT, CPUM, Carolinas Rehabilitation, Charlotte, NC

The United States offers advanced health care services; however, the care is not always accessible, effective, safe, and efficient. In calling for a strong response to improve the quality of health care, the Institute of Medicine noted that the only way to know if health care quality is improving is to document performance using standardized measures of quality.

The course will begin with a review of the key characteristics of a quality measure, including the review criteria (importance, scientific acceptability, usability and feasibility) used by the National Quality Forum. The second segment will include a review of the current status of quality measures from several perspectives. The third segment of the course will focus on data collection and data analysis challenges. This will include presentations focused on several case-mix adjustment approaches, identification of clinically important differences in patient outcomes and challenges in defining adverse events occurring in inpatient rehabilitation programs. The final segment will include a panel of researchers discussing possible future policy changes that would affect rehabilitation care and potential research opportunities related to these changes.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the characteristics of a quality measure appropriate for public reporting and pay-for-performance activities.
2. Describe the current status of quality measure development.
3. Describe the impact of data analysis challenges on the development of quality measures.
4. Identify future research opportunities associated with quality measurement efforts.
PLenary session

The Future of Clinical Trials in Stroke Neurorehabilitation: Rationale, Challenges & Opportunities for Innovative Approaches

8:00 AM – 8:30 AM / WELCOME REMARKS / REGENCY VII
8:30 AM – 10:00 AM / REGENCY VII

SPEAKER: Carolee Winstein, PhD, PT, FAPTA, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

FACULTY: Bruce Dobkin, MD, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; Pamela Duncan, PhD, PT, FAPTA, FAHA, Duke University, Durham, NC; Alexander Dromerick, MD, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC; John Krakauer, MD, The Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD; Walter Koroshetz, MD, NIH/NINDS, Bethesda, MD

Clinical trials have long been seen as the scientific gold standard for providing the best evidence to inform clinical practice. Here, we examine the rationale, challenges, and opportunities for the future of clinical trials in stroke neurorehabilitation. Considerable clinical trial research has focused on the acute stroke event, including tPA and other physiological interventions. There is a growing body of work including clinical trials focused on motor rehabilitation including robotics, electrical stimulation, behavioral interventions and brain-computer interface. This is an exciting time to convene a group of experienced clinical trialists with a leading representative from NINDS to discuss the future of clinical trials in stroke neurorehabilitation.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Summarize the remarkable advances in neuroscience that have stimulated several different lines of translational research in stroke neurorehabilitation.
2. Discuss the pros and cons of clinical trial research including Phase 1, II and III definitive randomized controlled trials in advancing practice and changing policy for stroke neurorehabilitation.
3. Discuss the rationale, challenges and opportunities for the future of clinical trials in stroke neurorehabilitation.

CAROLEE WINSTEIN, PhD, PT, FAPTA

Dr. Carolee Winstein, PhD, is Professor of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy and Associate Professor of Neurology and Director of the Motor Behavior and Neurorehabilitation Laboratory at USC. Dr. Winstein is currently Project Director/PI on the DOE/NIDRR funded study Optimizing Participation Through Technologies (OPTT) — RERC for Successful Aging With Disability and Co-Principal Investigator on the NIH/NINDS funded study The Interdisciplinary Comprehensive Arm Rehabilitation Evaluation (I-CARE). She currently serves on the Advisory Board of the NIDRR-funded Rehabilitation Engineering and Research Center in Chicago, and the National Advisory Board on Medical Rehabilitation Research for NIH/NCMRR. She has received several awards from the APTA, including the Eugene Michels New Investigator Award and the Marion Williams award for Research in Physical Therapy, and was elected a Catherine Worthingham Fellow of the APTA.
ACRM International Committee Meeting
10:00 AM – 11:00 AM / HANOVER F&G

BREAK 10:00 AM - 10:30 AM REGENCY FOYER

ASNR LECTURES
10:30 AM – 12:00 PM / REGENCY VII

OUTSTANDING NEUROREHABILITATION CLINICIAN SCIENTIST

Neuroprosthetic Tools for Repair of the Injured Brain

PRESENTER: Randolph J. Nudo, PhD, Landon Center on Aging, Kansas City, KS

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe examples of how technology and science have been combined to interface the injured nervous system with the outside world.
2. Explain the capacity of the brain to rewire its connections spontaneously after injury.
3. Describe new approaches to influence functional and structural connectivity in the injured brain using neuroprosthetic approaches.

KENNETH M. VISTE, JR., MD AWARD LECTURE

Neurorehabilitation Approaching the End of the Beginning?

PRESENTER: Michael Weinrich, MD, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Outline the origins of Neurorehabilitation.
2. Describe the current challenges to Neurorehabilitation practice.
3. Highlight opportunities for advancing research and practice in Neurorehabilitation.

RANDOLPH J. NU DO, PhD

RANDOLPH J. NU DO, PhD is Director of the Landon Center on Aging and Professor in the Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology at the Kansas University Medical Center in Kansas City, Kansas. He is the Marian Merrell Dow Distinguished Professor in Aging. Dr. Nudo has published over 100 articles on the topic of brain plasticity after injury, and has been invited to give over 200 national and international presentations for academia and industry. Dr. Nudo has been funded continuously by the National Institutes of Health for over 25 years, and currently holds the prestigious Javits Investigator Award in Neuroscience. He serves on the board of directors of the American Society of Neurorehabilitation, is Associate Editor of the journals Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, and Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience, as well as Deputy Editor of the journal Brain Stimulation. He is recognized internationally for his work on the effects of rehabilitative training on functional plasticity after stroke, and is currently collaborating with engineers to develop microimplantable devices for repairing neural circuits after stroke, traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury.

MICHAEL WEINRICH, MD

DR. WEINRICH is director of the National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research at NIH. Prior to joining NIH in 2000, he was professor of neurology at University of Maryland School of Medicine and medical director for rehabilitation at Kernan Hospital in Baltimore. He received his undergraduate and medical education at Harvard University, trained in neurophysiology at the NIH, and served on the faculty at Stanford University. In 1998 he served as the AAN-ANA-CNS public policy fellow in the office of Congressman Cardin. His research has spanned development of new rehabilitative approaches for severe aphasia, health services for vulnerable populations, and membrane biophysics.
ACRM STROKE NETWORKING GROUP LUNCHEON & SPECIAL GUEST SPEAKER

12:00 PM – 1:30 PM / REGENCY VI // TICKETED EVENT //

SPEAKER: Anna Barrett, MD; Director, Stroke Rehabilitation Research, Kessler Foundation Research Center; President, ASNR

TOPIC: New Directions for Translation in Stroke Rehabilitation

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Outline the origins of Neurorehabilitation.
2. Describe the current challenges to Neurorehabilitation practice.
3. Highlight opportunities for advancing research and practice in Neurorehabilitation.

Neurorehabilitation & Neural Repair Editorial Meeting
12:00 PM – 1:30 PM / DUNWOODY

BRUCKER INTERNATIONAL LUNCHEON

12:15 PM – 1:30 PM / COURTLAND // TICKETED EVENT //

ISSUES IN INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION IN REHABILITATION RESEARCH

COURSE DIRECTOR/LUNCHEON SPEAKER: John Stone, PhD, Center for International Rehabilitation Research Information & Exchange (CIRRIE), Buffalo, NY

Drawing on material from a conference conducted by the Center for International Rehabilitation Research, Dr. Stone will present reflections on the difficulties and best practices in research collaboration across boundaries. Factors such as distance, language, funding, administrative differences, and trust will be discussed. Attendees will have an opportunity for roundtable and brainstorming discussions.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Identify and describe the putative cognitive, physical and emotional symptoms that occur as a result of cerebral concussion.
2. Identify and describe the non-neurologic factors that contribute to symptom presentation and maintenance after traumatic insults identified with mild brain injury.
3. Discuss the similarities and differences between clinical presentations of various forms of traumatic insult (e.g., blast injury vs. acceleration/deceleration vs. impact injury) and the role of contextual factors in civilian and military populations.

BI-ISIG Community-Based Treatment Task Force
12:00 PM – 1:00 PM / CHICAGO A

SHELDON BERROL MEMORIAL CHAUTAUQUA LECTURE

Insults Real and Imagined: A Clinical and Scientific Inquiry into Mild TBI, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, and Post-Concussive Syndrome

1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / REGENCY VII

COURSE DIRECTOR / FACILITATOR: Keith D. Cicerone, PhD, ABPP-CN, FACRM, Neuropsychology & Cognitive Rehabilitation, JFK-Johnson Rehabilitation Institute, Edison, NJ

FACULTY: Kathleen Bell, MD, University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle WA; Mary Hibbard, PhD, ABPP-Rp, Rusk Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine, New York, NY; Ronald Ruff, PhD, Neuropsychologist, San Francisco, CA; Rodney Vanderploeg, PhD, ABPP-Cn, James A. Haley Veterans Hospital, Tampa, FL

The Chautauqua will address the clinical and scientific bases for understanding the putative mechanisms of injury; nature of cognitive, somatic and emotional symptoms; and causes of chronic disability in people who have sustained a traumatic insult associated with mild traumatic brain injury. This presentation will utilize a “Town Hall” format to identify the elements of critical inquiry and generate discussion from experts on mild TBI among both civilian and military population.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Identify and describe the putative cognitive, physical and emotional symptoms that occur as a result of cerebral concussion.
2. Identify and describe the non-neurologic factors that contribute to symptom presentation and maintenance after traumatic insults identified with mild brain injury.
3. Discuss the similarities and differences between clinical presentations of various forms of traumatic insult (e.g., blast injury vs. acceleration/deceleration vs. impact injury) and the role of contextual factors in civilian and military populations.
Outcomes from a Health Care Home for Persons with Disabilities

1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / HANOVER A & B

COURSE DIRECTOR: Nancy Flinn, PhD, OTR/L, Courage Center, Minneapolis, MN

FACULTY: Erin Simunds, MSPT, Courage Center, Minneapolis, MN

Health care reform has recognized that gaps in primary care effect the long-term health of patients with disabilities and complex health conditions, and remediation of these gaps can decrease health costs and improve health.

Individuals with complex or chronic conditions require various specialists to improve and maintain overall health, but this care is often fractured and isolated. Primary care delivered through a health care home coordinates services through a single point of contact and minimizes the multiple barriers to care faced by individuals with disabilities.

Disability-competent primary care includes care pathways for common health conditions experienced by individuals with disabilities, flexible options for care delivery, and coordination of care through reciprocal interaction between medical, psychological and rehabilitation professionals.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the role that a disability-competent primary care health care home for persons with disabilities plays in health care reform.
2. Describe the demographic factors of individuals with disabilities who can benefit from primary care delivered through a health care home approach.
3. Identify the health benefits experienced by adults with disabilities after receiving primary care services under this model.

Integrating Interactive Media into Neurorehabilitation: An Evidence-Based Interdisciplinary Approach

1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / HANOVER D & E

COURSE DIRECTOR: Steven L. Wolf, PhD, PT, FAPTA, FAHA, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

FACULTY: Thanassis Rikakis, PhD, School of Arts, Media and Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; Yinpeng Chen, PhD, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; Zev Rymer, MD, PhD, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL

Evidence-based Interactive Neurorehabilitation (INR) requires broad interdisciplinary knowledge that cannot be mastered by a single clinician or researcher. Principles and evidence from neuroscience, rehabilitation, physical therapy, engineering, computer science, arts and cognitive sciences must inform development and application of INR systems. This session establishes simple guidelines that can help inform selection and use of INR systems by clinicians and streamline research directions in INR for:

Clinicians who want to test, explore or adopt Interactive Neurorehabilitation (INR) systems

Researchers interested in development of INR systems

Clinicians or researchers who want to explore combinations of INR systems with existing practices or other technologies (e.g. robotics)

Health administrators interested in practical issues of INR implementation (staff training, cost, insurance reimbursement etc).

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the significance of new interactive media technologies for neurologic rehabilitation.
2. Evaluate the potential advantages for the use of Interactive Neurorehabilitation (INR), in comparison to prevailing standards of care or with advanced robotic therapies, and select the appropriate INR systems for specific neurorehabilitation challenges.
3. Recognize the applications of INR systems in the home, or in outpatient or community-based clinical settings.

Expanding the Conditions that Respond to Constraint-Induced-Movement (CI) Therapy

1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / HANOVER C

COURSE DIRECTOR: Edward Taub, PhD, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

FACULTY: Victor Mark, MD, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; Gitendra Uswatte, PhD, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; David Good, MD, Pennsylvania State University, Hershey, PA

The outcomes reported in the pediatric CI therapy literature are virtually all positive but vary in size. This symposium will discuss reasons for the variance in outcomes, thereby suggesting ways that clinicians and researchers might change the protocols they employ to optimize outcomes. The value of rehabilitation for individuals with progressive CNS disorders is often questioned. This symposium will present a therapeutic approach that holds promise for producing persistent improvement in more-affected arm function in multiple sclerosis (MS) patients. For both clinicians and researchers, the findings, along with the results from other recent studies, will suggest that a change in this perspective might be at least considered.

There are few interventions that have controlled evidence for improving more-affected arm function in stroke survivors with severe upper-extremity hemiparesis, i.e., those with plegic or nearly plegic hands. This symposium will present a therapeutic approach that has controlled evidence for producing large improvements in real-world use of the more-affected arm in such individuals. For clinicians, the findings will suggest a therapeutic option for their patients where few or none had been available. For researchers, the study will suggest therapeutic elements that they might consider incorporating into treatments being developed for this population.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how the brains of young children with cerebral palsy change after pediatric upper-extremity CI therapy.
2. Develop a therapeutic treatment plan for producing persistent improvement in arm function in patients with multiple sclerosis.
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Identify an evidence-based Life Transition framework for best practices in medical rehabilitation, more specifically, chronic health conditions, neurorehabilitation, and impairments due to the impact of war (e.g., in OIF/IEF returning veterans).
2. Identify unmet needs of clinical populations vulnerable to changes triggered by Life Transitions.
3. Foster a discussion about the cross-cutting evidence-based topics in specialty areas (e.g., acute care, in-patient settings, and home care) as the basis for an integrated model of practice and educational programs for health care providers.

This session will provide a review of the existing knowledge on post-TBI depression and suicidality and the treatment of post-TBI depression. It will thus bring clinicians up to date on this literature. We will present new evidence regarding effective treatments for post-TBI depression and provide details of the nature of these treatments and their successful implementation in clinical practice. Individual differences among persons with TBI and how those differences may guide individualized treatment decisions will be addressed along with directions for future research needed in this area.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Recognize the risk of post-TBI depression and suicidality and the treatment of post-TBI depression.
2. Describe interventions that may be effective in reducing depressive symptoms after TBI.
3. Identify the key components and challenges of each intervention presented.

Balancing Law, Social Policy and Clinical Practice
3:30 PM – 5:00 PM / HANOVER C

FACULTY: Carolyn Zollar, JD, American Medical Rehabilitation Providers Association, Washington, DC

Social policies and new laws are impacting care delivery and clinicians and administrators want a quick and easy way to know what is happening and what they should pay attention to. This session addresses lessons learned from both sides of the ocean to enrich participants’ thinking.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Articulate the differences and similarities between Europe and North America on laws and social policies as they relate to disability.
2. Determine how countries are balancing policy and financial challenges and facilitate the delivery of quality clinical practices.
3. Reflect on how these changes impact access and delivery of their services and how they need to prepare to maintain quality rehabilitation in their practices.
participants will come away with a better overall understanding of the conditions or adverse events. trends of SCI and TBI across racial groups and who is at risk for specific prevention or intervention strategies for their patients. Rehabilitation clinicians will gain knowledge to better provide care, and researchers will expand their understanding of conceptual and theoretical issues related to SCI screening approaches. Clinicians are under increasing pressure to provide evidence for the effectiveness of wheeled mobility and seating interventions. This morning session will provide guidance to help clinicians and others interested in conducting studies of wheeled mobility and seating. Learning Objectives To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe issues to consider when designing studies of wheeled mobility and seating interventions.
2. Identify various study design options and the pros and cons of each.
3. Discuss lessons learned from past research studies and how these may apply to the participant’s practice.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Stephen Sprigle, PhD, PT, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA

FACU LTY: David Brienza, PhD, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; Sharon Sonenblum, PhD, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA

Clinicians are under increasing pressure to provide evidence for the effectiveness of wheeled mobility and seating interventions. This morning session will provide guidance to help clinicians and others interested in conducting studies of wheeled mobility and seating.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe issues to consider when designing studies of wheeled mobility and seating interventions.
2. Identify various study design options and the pros and cons of each.
3. Discuss lessons learned from past research studies and how these may apply to the participant’s practice.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael Teaching, MD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

FACU LTY: Robert Wagenaar, PhD, Boston University, Boston, MA; Pamela Duncan, PhD, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC; Alexander Dromerick, MD, Georgetown University, Washington, DC; Mary Stuart, ScD, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

There is a pressing need for maintenance rehabilitation, as evidence by the class action suit filed recently against CMS for not providing such. It is not yet clear what the content of such rehabilitation should be.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the evidence for and against specific exercise prescription for stroke rehabilitation in the acute period.
2. Describe the evidence for and against specific exercise prescription for stroke rehabilitation in the chronic period.
3. Discuss the issues around implementing exercise programs for stroke in the community setting.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Wayne Gordon, ABPP-CN, FACRM, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

FACU LTY: Yelena Goldin-Lauretta, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; Chari Hirshson, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; Kristen Dams-O’Connor, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

Researchers and clinicians will expand their understanding of conceptual and theoretical issues related to TBI screening approaches; gain insight into the development, validation and application of a new TBI screening tool; and understand the limitations and identify directions for future exploration and application of TBI screening methods.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the development and practical applications of the Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire (BISQ).
2. Describe the key issues related to screening for TBI.
3. Describe primary findings and knowledge gained from recent screening studies in different populations.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael De Vivo, PhD, University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; David Staten, PhD, South Carolina State University, Orangeburg, SC

Clinicians and researchers will access state-of-the-art research on health disparities and neurologic injuries including the first study to systematically investigate differences in chronic health conditions among blacks with SCI and TBI compared with the general population and the largest longitudinal study with respect to scope of measurement of risk factors and outcomes among those with SCI (n=2615).

Rehabilitation clinicians will gain knowledge to better provide care for their patients. Rehabilitation researchers will gain knowledge to target research projects so that each prevention or intervention strategy developed will have the greatest impact on all racial groups.

Participants will come away with a better overall understanding of the trends of SCI and TBI across racial groups and who is at risk for specific chronic conditions or adverse events.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the development and practical applications of the Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire (BISQ).
2. Describe the key issues related to screening for TBI.
3. Describe primary findings and knowledge gained from recent screening studies in different populations.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael Devivo, PhD, University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; David Staten, PhD, South Carolina State University, Orangeburg, SC

Clinicians and researchers will access state-of-the-art research on health disparities and neurologic injuries including the first study to systematically investigate differences in chronic health conditions among blacks with SCI and TBI compared with the general population and the largest longitudinal study with respect to scope of measurement of risk factors and outcomes among those with SCI (n=2615).

Rehabilitation clinicians will gain knowledge to better provide care for their patients. Rehabilitation researchers will gain knowledge to target research projects so that each prevention or intervention strategy developed will have the greatest impact on all racial groups.

Participants will come away with a better overall understanding of the trends of SCI and TBI across racial groups and who is at risk for specific chronic conditions or adverse events.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how rates of chronic conditions among black persons with SCI and TBI compare with rates for the black general population.
2. Identify disparities in a minimum of three adverse health outcomes in persons with SCI.
3. Describe the trends of SCI among underserved populations.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael Weinrich, MD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

FACU LTY: Robert Wagenaar, PhD, Boston University, Boston, MA; Pamela Duncan, PhD, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC; Alexander Dromerick, MD, Georgetown University, Washington, DC; Mary Stuart, ScD, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

There is a pressing need for maintenance rehabilitation, as evidence by the class action suit filed recently against CMS for not providing such. It is not yet clear what the content of such rehabilitation should be.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the evidence for and against specific exercise prescription for stroke rehabilitation in the acute period.
2. Describe the evidence for and against specific exercise prescription for stroke rehabilitation in the chronic period.
3. Discuss the issues around implementing exercise programs for stroke in the community setting.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Wayne Gordon, ABPP-CN, FACRM, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

FACU LTY: Yelena Goldin-Lauretta, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; Chari Hirshson, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; Kristen Dams-O’Connor, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

Researchers and clinicians will expand their understanding of conceptual and theoretical issues related to TBI screening approaches; gain insight into the development, validation and application of a new TBI screening tool; and understand the limitations and identify directions for future exploration and application of TBI screening methods.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the development and practical applications of the Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire (BISQ).
2. Describe the key issues related to screening for TBI.
3. Describe primary findings and knowledge gained from recent screening studies in different populations.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael Devivo, PhD, University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; David Staten, PhD, South Carolina State University, Orangeburg, SC

Clinicians and researchers will access state-of-the-art research on health disparities and neurologic injuries including the first study to systematically investigate differences in chronic health conditions among blacks with SCI and TBI compared with the general population and the largest longitudinal study with respect to scope of measurement of risk factors and outcomes among those with SCI (n=2615).

Rehabilitation clinicians will gain knowledge to better provide care for their patients. Rehabilitation researchers will gain knowledge to target research projects so that each prevention or intervention strategy developed will have the greatest impact on all racial groups.

Participants will come away with a better overall understanding of the trends of SCI and TBI across racial groups and who is at risk for specific chronic conditions or adverse events.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how rates of chronic conditions among black persons with SCI and TBI compare with rates for the black general population.
2. Identify disparities in a minimum of three adverse health outcomes in persons with SCI.
3. Describe the trends of SCI among underserved populations.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael Weinrich, MD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

FACU LTY: Robert Wagenaar, PhD, Boston University, Boston, MA; Pamela Duncan, PhD, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC; Alexander Dromerick, MD, Georgetown University, Washington, DC; Mary Stuart, ScD, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

There is a pressing need for maintenance rehabilitation, as evidence by the class action suit filed recently against CMS for not providing such. It is not yet clear what the content of such rehabilitation should be.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the evidence for and against specific exercise prescription for stroke rehabilitation in the acute period.
2. Describe the evidence for and against specific exercise prescription for stroke rehabilitation in the chronic period.
3. Discuss the issues around implementing exercise programs for stroke in the community setting.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Wayne Gordon, ABPP-CN, FACRM, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

FACU LTY: Yelena Goldin-Lauretta, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; Chari Hirshson, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY; Kristen Dams-O’Connor, PhD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY

Researchers and clinicians will expand their understanding of conceptual and theoretical issues related to TBI screening approaches; gain insight into the development, validation and application of a new TBI screening tool; and understand the limitations and identify directions for future exploration and application of TBI screening methods.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the development and practical applications of the Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire (BISQ).
2. Describe the key issues related to screening for TBI.
3. Describe primary findings and knowledge gained from recent screening studies in different populations.

CoUsE D IReCToR: Michael Devivo, PhD, University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; David Staten, PhD, South Carolina State University, Orangeburg, SC

Clinicians and researchers will access state-of-the-art research on health disparities and neurologic injuries including the first study to systematically investigate differences in chronic health conditions among blacks with SCI and TBI compared with the general population and the largest longitudinal study with respect to scope of measurement of risk factors and outcomes among those with SCI (n=2615).

Rehabilitation clinicians will gain knowledge to better provide care for their patients. Rehabilitation researchers will gain knowledge to target research projects so that each prevention or intervention strategy developed will have the greatest impact on all racial groups.

Participants will come away with a better overall understanding of the trends of SCI and TBI across racial groups and who is at risk for specific chronic conditions or adverse events.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how rates of chronic conditions among black persons with SCI and TBI compare with rates for the black general population.
2. Identify disparities in a minimum of three adverse health outcomes in persons with SCI.
3. Describe the trends of SCI among underserved populations.
**PLENARY SESSION**
8:30 AM – 10:00 AM / REGENCY VII

**PART 1: Progesterone and Brain Injury: What’s Next?**

**SPEAKER:** Donald G. Stein, PhD, Asa G. Candler Professor, Distinguished Professor of Emergency Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe science-based methods of diagnosing sports concussion.
2. Identify science-based strategies for return to play.
3. Reflect on the issues pertaining to science on repetitive concussion, second-impact syndrome (SIS), and chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE).

**PART 2: In Search of a Clinical Treatment for Acute Traumatic Brain Injury: The Hope of Progesterone**

**SPEAKER:** David W. Wright, MD Assistant Professor of Emergency Medicine, Director of Emergency Neurosciences Emory University School of Medicine; and Project Leader for the Phase II clinical trial and Principal Investigator for Phase III clinical trial ProTECT™ (Progesterone for TBI, Experimental Clinical Treatment)

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe science-based methods of diagnosing sports concussion.
2. Identify science-based strategies for return to play.
3. Reflect on the issues pertaining to science on repetitive concussion, second-impact syndrome (SIS), and chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE).

**DONALD G. STEIN, PhD**
Donald G. Stein, PhD, is Asa G. Candler Professor and Distinguished Professor in Emergency Medicine at the Emory University School of Medicine in Atlanta, Georgia. Stein’s research has long focused on recovery of function after traumatic injury to the brain. His group was one of the first to demonstrate sex differences in the outcome of severe cortical injury. The recovery was highly related to the hormonal state of the females at the time of injury. Later investigations showed that progesterone promotes functional recovery through its ability to eliminate cerebral edema and other effects of inflammation and tissue breakdown. Injections of progesterone were also effective in male animals.

Dr. Stein’s laboratory now studies progesterone and its metabolites in pediatric, adult and aged models of TBI and stroke. His group works with medical colleagues in emergency medicine, neurology, pediatrics and neuro-ophthalmology. His basic research has led to two independent Phase II clinical trials testing progesterone for moderate to severe traumatic brain injury and now to an NIH-sponsored, nationwide Phase III clinical trial. He has received continuous grant support from a variety of federal agencies since 1965.

Dr. Stein is the author of over 450 book chapters, reviews and papers on recovery from brain injury. He has authored or edited 16 books on the topic. Brain Repair (Oxford University Press, 1995) has now been published in five languages.

**DAVID W. WRIGHT, MD**
David W. Wright, MD, is a tenured Associate Professor in the Department of Emergency Medicine at Emory University, and the Director of the division of Emergency Neurosciences.

Dr. Wright is a translational researcher with a bench-top to bedside approach to problem solving, working in both the basic sciences and clinical research arena. He is the Principal Investigator for the large NIH funded multicenter clinical trial called, ProTECT III, Progesterone for Traumatic Brain Injury; the world’s first large scale clinical trial of this treatment. He also services as the site Principal Investigator for the NETT southeastern HUB. He is a practicing Emergency Room physician working in the Emory University Hospital ED and Grady Memorial Hospital Emergency Department, the region’s only Level I trauma center. In addition, he is conducting collaborative research with the Georgia Institute of Technology to develop new technologies for detecting cognitive impairment resulting from mTBI and early Alzheimer’s disease. He has won several awards for research excellence from the Society of Academic Emergency Medicine and was the recipient of the 2008 Health Care Heroes Award from the Atlanta Business Chronicles. He is currently one of the top 3 NIH funded emergency medicine researchers in U.S.
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, learning objectives should be achieved. This involves understanding and meaningful communication of outcomes predictions based on clinical knowledge, experience, and research, ensuring that the limitations of outcomes predictions are understood and managed appropriately.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe science-based methods of diagnosing sports concussion.
2. Identify science-based strategies for return to play.
3. Reflect on the issues pertaining to science on repetitive concussion, second-impact syndrome (SIS), and chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE).

Approaches to Neural Regeneration: Implications for Neurorehabilitation
10:30 AM – 12:00 PM / HANOVER D & E

COURSE DIRECTOR: Krish Sathian, MD, PhD, Atlanta VA Medical Center, Atlanta, GA

FACULTY: Randy Trumbower, PT, PhD, Atlanta VA Medical Center, Atlanta, GA; Arthur English, PhD, Atlanta VA Medical Center, Atlanta, GA; Shan Yu, PhD, Atlanta VA Medical Center, Atlanta, GA; Nicholas Boulos, MD, PhD, Atlanta VA Medical Center, Atlanta, GA; Ravi Bellamkonda, MD, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA

This is a timely area of research because concepts of neural regeneration pertain to neurologic rehabilitation and have only recently been uncovered. Much work is still pending publication, underscoring the cutting-edge nature of this line of research. Discussion between basic scientists and clinicians will highlight the challenges of translation from bench to bedside, and ways to increase the likelihood of success.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Examine new research directions in the field of neural regeneration.
2. Describe the value of regenerative medicine in the field of neurorehabilitation.
3. Identify potential ways to interface discoveries in neural regeneration with clinical care.

Providing Evidence-Based Prognoses for Persons with Brain Injury and Their Families
10:30 AM – 12:00 PM / HANOVER C

COURSE DIRECTOR: Jim Malec, PhD, FACRM, PM&R Indiana University School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN

FACULTY: Ron Seel, PhD, Crawford Research Institute, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA; Flora Hammond, MD, PM&R Indiana University School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN

Improving patient and family knowledge about the risk for disability and making appropriate plans are part of good clinical practice. However, misinformation can have negative consequences on patient motivation, compliance, and the relationship of the provider with patient and family. Providers should know the limitations of outcomes predictions made on the basis of clinical knowledge, experience, and available research. Methods will be described to communicate outcome predictions framed in appropriate degrees of certainty and uncertainty in ways that are generally understandable and meaningful.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the strengths and limitations of predicting outcomes of brain injury rehabilitation based on clinical judgment or statistical modeling.
2. Discuss the best methods for reading and evaluating published reports of prediction models.
3. Describe recommended methods for translating clinical experience and statistical models into understandable and meaningful prognostic statements to patients and families.

Raising Expectations for Gait Recovery After Stroke: An Evidence-Based Approach
10:30 AM – 12:00 PM / HANOVER F & G

COURSE DIRECTOR: Karen McCain, PT, DPT, NCS, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

FACULTY: Patricia Smith, PhD, PT, NCS, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

After stroke, the primary question in the minds of patients is whether they will be able to walk again. Providing the best outcome is the goal of the patient as well as the rehabilitation professional. ESTT (Early Standardized Treadmill Training) is a comprehensive, evidence-based approach, including attention to assistive device use and ankle-foot orthosis design. ESTT is an early, efficient, effective, and standardized method of gait training after stroke that can be implemented as part of a comprehensive rehabilitation approach. It can be completed in 30 minutes per day utilizing one therapist and one trained technician. ESTT is the only published approach to begin gait training on the treadmill before commencement of over ground gait training.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Recognize the need for gait recovery after stroke.
2. Apply evidence-based protocols to the retraining of gait after stroke.
3. Describe the basic elements of ESTT and its application in an acute rehabilitation setting.
World Health Organization Report on Disabilities 2011

10:30 AM – 12:00 PM / BAKER

COURSE DIRECTOR: Katherine Seelman, PhD, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

FACULTY: John Stone, PhD, Barry Willer, PhD, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY; Venus Ilagan, President, Rehabilitation International, Manila, PH; Fofi Constantinidou, PhD, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, CY

The WHO report on disability took six years and the contributions of countless professionals and consumers in many different countries. Slated for release in the summer of 2011, this is the first presentation of the report at a conference of professionals. This World Report on Disabilities will be of considerable interest to clinicians, researchers and policy makers.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe and understand the process whereby the WHO Report on Disability was created and the logic behind this process.
2. Describe the primary recommendations of the WHO Report on Disability and the implications for rehabilitation services in the U.S. and other countries.
3. Describe the types and range of rehabilitation services perceived as being evidence-based by the WHO and its affiliated organizations throughout the world.

LUNCH (ON OWN) 12:00 PM – 1:30 PM

SCI-SIG LUNCHEON MEETING
FUNCTIONAL ELECTRICAL STIMULATION IN SCI: CLINICIAN AND CONSUMER PERSPECTIVES

12:00 PM – 1:30 PM / COURTLAND // TICKETED EVENT //

COURSE DIRECTOR Candy Tefertiller, DPT, ATP, NCS, Craig Hospital, Englewood CO

FACULTY: Jennifer French, Executive Director, Neurotech Network, Tampa, FL; Beth Pharo, PT, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA; Nicholas Evans, MHS, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA

Researchers and clinicians will gain an understanding of the current practice and use of FES technologies from both the clinical and end-user perspectives, which may be useful in shaping future development of FES technology.

Clinical guidelines will be discussed regarding the appropriate application of FES technologies in the clinical setting, and lower-cost alternatives to commercially available products will be reviewed to increase FES utilization in systems where funding is limited. Practical application of FES in the clinical setting will be evaluated from a programmatic perspective to include outcomes measures and financial considerations.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Discuss the opportunities and challenges of implementing FES in the clinical setting.
2. Describe the multiple uses of FES from the perspectives of the consumer and clinician.
3. Assess practical applications for successful implementation in the clinic and the home environment for FES, and discuss lower-cost alternatives to commercially available FES technologies.

ACRM Membership Meeting
1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / REGENCY VII

ASNR Membership Meeting
1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / REGENCY VI

Rehab JOB BOARD
POST JOBS BROWSE JOBS
www.careers.acrm.org
Clinicians and researchers will assist in developing guidelines to provide a more comprehensive and standardized way to treat spatial neglect impairments. Collaborative partnerships will develop. Participants also can assist in developing a procedures manual.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Recognize patient demographics and characteristics and the impact of post-stroke spatial neglect.
2. Recognize the evidence supporting specific rehabilitative interventions to improve function in stroke survivors with spatial neglect.
3. Reflect on the major translational obstacles to research on the systematic treatment of spatial neglect treatment research.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Differentiate traditional functional clinical assessments currently utilized in the clinical setting from technology-driven mobility outcomes, which create quantifiable measures in rehabilitation medicine and research.
2. Describe the utility of technology to create quantifiable mobility outcome and relate how these can be utilized to describe biomechanical mechanisms that lead to improved functional performance.
3. Identify key technologically driven mobility outcome measures that can be fully integrated into routine clinical and research settings alongside traditional measures to direct treatment options and accurately measure changes in function.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how the TBI PBE study addresses comprehensive TBI rehabilitation care in order to determine those treatments that are associated with better outcomes for specific types of patients.
2. Describe differences, by age group, in patient characteristics, severity of illness and injury, comorbidities, and functioning of TBI patients during rehabilitation and after discharge.
3. Describe differences, by age group, in patient characteristics, severity of illness and injury, comorbidities, and functioning of TBI patients during rehabilitation and after discharge.
Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: Translating Research into Clinical Practice

1:30 PM – 3:00 PM / HANOVER D & E

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Martin Grabois, MD, FACRM, Baylor College of Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Houston, TX

**FACULTY:** R. Norman Hardin, MD, Rehab Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL; Sri Vasudevan, MD, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

This condition is widely discussed and diagnosed. It is vital to understand the pathophysiology of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome if one is to understand its evaluation and treatment. This will be addressed in detail, with multiple possible theories addressed. The evaluation and its classification have been updated recently. A more comprehensive and specific classification will be presented, based on recent research for utilization in the clinical and research diagnosis of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. The treatment of this syndrome is in evolution, and newly proposed treatments have questionable effectiveness and cost efficacy. This program will address the evidence-based studies on treatment, especially as they relate to the proposed pathophysiology of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. New treatments will be explored, along with critiques.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how recent research is changing clinical practice for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome.
2. Recognize the current etiology of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome.
3. Describe current concepts for the evaluation and treatment of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome.

**BREAK 3:00 PM – 3:30 PM / REGENCY FOYER**

Making Evidence-Based Treatments Accessible via Tele-Health

3:30 PM – 5:00 PM / HANOVER A & B

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Gitendra Uswatte, PhD, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

**FACULTY:** Charles Bombardier, PhD, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; Dawn Ehde, PhD, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; Robert Teasell, MD, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, CN

Tele-health is one of the new frontiers in healthcare. However, rehabilitation professionals have little training in, or exposure to, this mode of delivery. This symposium will give clinicians and researchers an opportunity to become familiar with three different tele-health interventions that span simple to sophisticated technologies and that target different impairments and consumer groups.

Rehabilitation professionals today agree that, whenever possible, healthcare consumers should be offered an evidence-based treatment for their condition. Unfortunately, practical issues, such as access to a medical center where the appropriate expertise resides, often stood in the way. Now, these efficacious interventions are becoming widely available.

The three projects will offer models for finding ways to deliver evidence-based treatments that promote their widespread dissemination. A common concern about tele-health interventions is that the relationship between the care provider and the patient will be adversely affected by the distance between them. Dr. Ehde will present data that address this concern in her presentation, as well as discuss variables that influence the quality of the relationship between the care provider and consumer. Tele-health interventions raise questions about treatment fidelity and outcomes. Dr. Bombardier will discuss ways to monitor therapeutic processes and outcomes remotely.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe how to adapt an existing cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) pain intervention for tele-health delivery in patients with acquired central nervous system (CNS) injury.
2. Describe how a successful motivational interviewing approach to physical activity promotion can be conducted via telephone, and how key process and outcome variables can be monitored.
3. Describe a tele-health intervention for producing persistent improvement in real-world use of the more-affected arm in patients with chronic, mild to moderate upper extremity hemiparesis after stroke.

**DAILY SCHEDULE**

**Medicine at the Nanoscale: Implications for Neurorehabilitation**

3:30 PM – 5:00 PM / HANOVER D & E

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Michael Weinrich, MD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

**FACULTY:** Ravi Bellamkonda, PhD, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA

This session presents two examples of new, hot research in nanomedicine with implications for rehabilitation.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe possibilities for using nanoparticles to image pathophysiology in blood vessels.
2. Describe use of nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery to the nervous system.
3. Recognize the limitations of available nanotechnologies.
Patient Engagement in Acute and Post-Acute TBI Rehabilitation

3:30 PM – 5:00 PM / HANOVER F&G

FACULTY: Flora Hammond, MD, Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN; Susan Horn, PhD, International Severity Information Systems, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT; William Garmoe, PhD, ABPP-CN, National Rehabilitation Hospital, Washington, DC

There is virtually no research on engagement in the TBI rehabilitation population; therefore, these new data from PBE studies will appeal to clinicians as well as researchers. Providing information on patient engagement in acute rehabilitation and associated factors will allow clinicians to develop a cognitive framework about engagement specific to: (a) normative expectations of patient engagement in TBI rehabilitation; (b) the longitudinal course of engagement; (c) what levels of engagement become problematic and adversely impact outcomes; and (d) identifying associated risk factors that are amenable to treatment. Likewise, researchers may use information presented to assess the value of engagement measures and design testable interventions that address engagement and associated factors.

Patient Engagement in Acute and Post-Acute TBI Rehabilitation

3:30 PM – 5:00 PM / HANOVER F&G

FACULTY: John Leddy, MD, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY

Presenters will describe the use of an exercise stress test to evaluate the physiologic condition of individuals with mTBI. The faculty will provide video examples of actual assessments and demonstrate even the subtle aspects of symptom evaluation. Presenters will describe how a threshold of exercise tolerance is determined and how a prescription for exercise-based treatment is developed. Participants will learn how a threshold of exercise tolerance is determined and how a prescription for exercise-based treatment is developed. Presenters also will describe how an exercise stress test can assist with differential diagnosis, including the characteristic responses of those with cervicogenic headache, depression, vestibular issues, and visual disturbance. In addition, the primary predictors of outcomes will be discussed.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Discuss the purpose of exercise testing for individuals with mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) and be able to conduct such an assessment.
2. Identify the threshold of exercise tolerance of individuals with mTBI and be able to prepare an exercise-based prescription for patients with mTBI who are suitable for such treatment.
3. Recognize which symptoms relate to mTBI and which symptoms may be related to other causes.

Oral Presentation of Scientific Papers & Deborah L. Wilkerson Award Winner

3:30 PM – 5:30 PM / BAKER

Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation Efficacy in Acute Stroke Tube Dependent Dysphagia

PRESENTER: David Kushner, MD, University of Miami School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Medicine, Miami, FL

FACULTY: Kenneth Peters, MS,CCC-SLP, University of Miami School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Medicine, Miami, FL; Stacy Thomasaw-Eroglu, MS,CCC-SLP, University of Miami School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Medicine, Miami, FL; Perless Melissa, MS,CCC-SLP, University of Miami School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Medicine, Miami, FL; Douglas Johnson-Greene, PhD, MPH,ABPP, University of Miami School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Medicine, Miami, FL

The purpose is to compare efficacy of Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation (NMES) with Progressive Resistance Therapy (prt) to Traditional Dysphagia Therapy (TDT) for treatment of feeding tube dependent dysphagia in acute stroke patients during inpatient rehabilitation.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Define engagement in rehabilitation and describe a wide range of factors that may potentially impact patient engagement.
2. Identify typical levels of patient engagement in SLP, OT and PT, the extent that engagement improves over time; and which factors are most highly associated with engagement.
3. Discuss the levels of engagement that should concern clinicians about possible adverse effects on outcomes, as well as potential strategies to improve engagement in the acute rehabilitation setting.
positively impact many of the ongoing fatigue-related issues and improve QOL. A replicable protocol will be presented.

Efficacy of “Care Call” Tele-rehabilitation Intervention for Persons with Spinal Cord Dysfunction: Randomized Controlled Trial

**PRESENTER:** Alan Jette, PhD, PT, New England Regional SCI Center, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA; Health & Disability Research Institute, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA

**FACULTY:** Bethelyn Houlihan, MSW, MPH, New England Regional SCI Center, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA; Pengsheng Ni, MD, MPH, Health & Disability Research Institute, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA; Michael Paasche-Orlow, MD, MA, MPH, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; Robert H. Friedman, MD, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; Stan Ducharme, PhD, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; Jane Wierbicky, RN, New England Regional SCI Center, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA; Judi Zazula, MS, OTR/L, New England Regional SCI Center, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA; David Rosenblum, MD, New England Regional SCI Center, Gaylord Hospital, Wallingford, CT; Steve Williams, MD, New England Regional SCI Center, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA

Self-care can impact the onset and severity of secondary conditions following spinal cord dysfunction (SCD). Pressure ulcers can be prevented through patient education. Depression can be successfully managed if treatment is received. Nonetheless, persons with SCD do not generally receive the necessary follow-up care that could promote self-management behaviors.

Although a promising strategy, few tele-rehabilitation interventions have been evaluated to identify successful, low-cost approaches; such a system could bring not only substantial long-term cost savings, but also, enhanced quality of life for people with SCD.

Care Call is an innovative tele-rehabilitation intervention designed to empower and motivate people with SCD to improve their skin care and mental health. The system does not replace but rather supplements the clinician’s role.

Care Call could help numerous people using a low risk, low-cost approach for long-term patient monitoring and service provision across multiple settings.

Excessive Central Fatigue Limits Motor Function of Cancer Survivors with Fatigue Symptom

**PRESENTER:** Guang Yue, PhD, Associate Professor, Cleveland Clinic, Biomedical Engineering/Rehab Medicine, Cleveland, OH

**FACULTY:** Ela Plow, PhD, Cleveland Clinic, Biomedical Engineering/Rehab Medicine, Cleveland, OH; Mellar Davis, MD, Cleveland Clinic, Biomedical Engineering/Rehab Medicine, Cleveland, OH

The majority of cancer survivors have diminished motor abilities. Fatigue has the greatest impact on quality-of-life in cancer survivors. Mechanisms underlying cancer-related fatigue (CRF) are not well known. The purpose of the study was to determine whether central or peripheral (muscle) mechanism plays a more dominant role in CRF during typical daily motor activities.

**Empowerment/Engagement:**

Front-line Clinicians Enhance the Research Process

**PRESENTER:** Julie Gassaway, MS, RN, Institute for Clinical Outcomes Research, Salt Lake City, UT

**FACULTY:** Gale Whiteneck, PhD, Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO

The purpose is to describe how to engage front-line clinicians in the research process; provide details of how clinical champions held the interest of their peers to participate in research data collection over an extended period of time; and will describe the mechanism designed by clinicians to capture details about daily care processes that they believe are relevant to post-discharge outcomes.

Results of the Citicoline Brain Injury Treatment (COBRIT) Trial

**PRESENTER:** Thomas Novack, PhD, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Birmingham, AL

**FACULTY:** Ross Zafonte, MD, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge, MA; Beth Ansel, PhD, National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research, Bethesda, MD; Sureyya Dikmen, PhD, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; Tessa Hart, PhD, Moss Rehabilitation Research Institute, Philadelphia, PA; Joe Ricker, PhD, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; Dale Hesdorffer, PhD, Columbia University, New York, NY; Keith Atkins, PhD, Harborview Medical Center, Seattle, WA; Kim Boase, BA, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD; Bizhan Aarabi, MD, University of Texas Dallas, Dallas, TX; Carlos Marquez de la Plata, PhD, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA; Nancy Hsu, PsyD, Semmes-Murphy Clinic, Memphis, TN

This study evaluates the effectiveness of a medication administered acutely to improve outcome following TBI. A broad selection of outcome measures was used at 1, 3, and 6 months after injury. The outcome measures are combined to produce a global statistic that generates maximum yield from the data collection while maximizing the potential to detect meaningful differences between groups.

Deborah L. Wilkerson Award Winner: Potential for Upper Limb Functional and neural recovery in people with chronic, incomplete tetraplegia

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Discuss the upper limb impairments that may respond to rehabilitation interventions in people with incomplete tetraplegia.
2. Discuss changes in upper limb function after a variety of upper limb activity-based interventions in people with incomplete tetraplegia.

**BI-ISIG Girls & Women with Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Task Force Meeting**

4:00 PM – 6:00 PM / COURTLAND

**PRESIDENT’S RECEPTION AND HENRY B. BETTS AWARDS GALA**

7:00 PM – 11:00 PM / GEORGIA AQUARIUM
**Daily Schedule**

**Saturday, October 15, 2011**

**Registration**
7:30 AM – 5:30 PM / Regency Area

**Coffee at Start**
7:30 AM – 8:00 PM / Outside Meeting Room(s)

**Exhibits Open**
8:00 AM – 10:30 AM / Grand Hall East

---

**ACRM John Stanley Coulter Lecture: Facts, Theories, Values: Shaping the Course of Neurorehabilitation**
8:00 AM – 9:00 AM / Chicago A & B

**Lecturer:** Keith D. Cicerone, PhD, ABPP-Cn, FACRM

This distinguished lectureship was created to honor John Stanley Coulter, MD in celebration of his many contributions to rehabilitation. President from 1923 to 1926, he also served as treasurer and editor of Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. To be named the Coulter Lecturer is to be recognized for professional achievements that have contributed significantly to the field of rehabilitation.

**Learning Objectives**

*To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:*

1. Participants will be able to discuss how evidence and theory interact in evaluating the effectiveness of (cognitive) rehabilitation.
2. Participants will be able to describe how direct and indirect values shape our interpretation of rehabilitation research.

---

**Break**
10:00 AM – 10:30 AM

**NIDRR Fellow Presentations**

**9:30 AM – 11:00 AM / Dunwoody**

**Relationship of Pre-Injury Coping on Outcomes in Adults with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury**

**NIDRR ARRT Fellow:** Kacey Maestas, PhD, Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Brain Injury Research Center, TIRR Memorial Hermann, Houston, TX

**Learning Objectives**

*To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:*

1. Identify pre-injury coping profiles among adults with mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI).
2. Examine the relationship of these profiles to psychiatric, quality-of-life, and community integration outcomes.

**Recovery of Overground Locomotion via Targeted Robotic Gait Training in Rats with SCI**

**NIDRR ARRT Fellow:** Nathan Neckel, PhD, Georgetown University, Neuroscience Department, Washington, DC

---

**Keith Cicerone, PhD**

Keith Cicerone, PhD, is a seminal figure in brain injury rehabilitation. He has made significant contributions to the field for over 30 years. Dr. Cicerone entered the field of brain injury rehabilitation almost at its inception, when individuals began to survive severe brain injuries due to improved emergency response systems and neurosurgical techniques. At that time, he began to develop psychological and learning-based interventions to rehabilitate the cognitive, affective, behavioral, and social impairments that frequently result from brain injury.

The early methods that he developed that appeared to be of benefit in clinical practice became the focus of Dr. Cicerone's research to obtain more definitive evidence of their efficacy. He remained active in clinical practice as his research career developed, and his research has always focused on developing and testing methods that will improve the functioning and ultimately the quality of life of individuals with brain injury.

---

**Learning Objectives**

*To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:*

1. Discuss robotic gait training as a popular treatment option.
2. Describe gains in device poorly transferred to overground walking.
3. Describe animal studies with novel training patterns that can help improve robotic gait training.

**Substance Use in Young Adults with Pediatric-onset Spinal Cord Injury**

**NIDRR ARRT Fellow:** Miriam Hwang, MD, PhD, Shriners Hospitals for Children, Chicago, IL, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

**Learning Objectives**

*To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:*

1. Discuss risk-taking behaviors including substance abuse that may be associated with the incident that resulted in spinal cord injury (SCI) in children and adolescents.
2. Describe SCI and its complications that may lead to substance use as a method to cope with the physical and psychological changes associated with SCI in this population.
3. Describe substance use in young adults with pediatric-onset SCI that may affect the psychosocial outcomes of these individuals throughout adulthood.
4. Describe factors associated with substance use that will enable clinicians caring for children or adolescents with SCI to counsel them on substance use and its potential association with negative outcomes as they transition to adulthood.

Toward a Practical Brain-Computer Interface for Individuals with Severe Motor Disabilities

**NIDRR ART Fellow:** Stefanie Blain, PhD, University of Michigan, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Ann Arbor, MI

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe developments in a novel technology that can be used by individuals with severe motor disabilities to communicate.
2. Describe two novel interventions that have been developed to address issues of training and focus in teaching individuals with severe motor disabilities to use their brains to directly control a computer interface.
3. Define study factors that are current barriers to the adoption of brain-computer interfaces as practical, day-to-day technologies.

Screening for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Comparison of Two Distinct Approaches

**NIDRR ART Fellow:** Yelena Goldin-Lauretta, PhD, Clinical Neuropsychology and Rehabilitation Postdoctoral Fellow, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Medicine, New York, NY

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Examine conceptual and theoretical issues related to TBI screening, directions for future exploration and application of these screening methods.
2. Identify directions for prevention and treatment planning.
3. Describe the role of caregivers to support community living and participation for individuals with SCI.
4. Describe the limitations of TBI screening, and identify directions for future exploration and application of these screening tools.

**Screening for Traumatic Brain Injury:**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Examine conceptual and theoretical issues related to TBI screening tools.
2. Describe the limitations of TBI screening, and identify directions for future exploration and application of these screening tools.

**Spinal Cord Injury and Caregiving: Who Needs Help?**

**9:30 AM – 11:00 AM / HANOVER A&B**

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Susan Charlifue, PhD, Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO

**FACULTY:** Line Beauregard, PhD, Laval University, Quebec, QC, CN; Kathryn Boschen, PhD, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, CN; Tamara Bushnik, PhD, Rusk Institute for Rehabilitation Medicine, New York, NY; Sara Guilcher, BS, MSc, MSc (PT), Rusk Institute for Rehabilitation Medicine, New York, NY; Tara Jeji, MD, MBA, Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation, Toronto, ON, CN

When a person sustains a traumatic injury, the initial focus of clinicians and researchers is on the injured individual and his/her health and emotional needs. The needs of the family, out of necessity, often are minimized, resulting in family members potentially feeling unprepared to assume caregiving activities. When caregivers are unable to cope effectively with all role responsibilities, their health and well-being, as well as that of the care recipient, may be jeopardized.

**Military Rehabilitation Research:**

**9:30 AM – 11:00 AM / HANOVER C**

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Mary Vining Radomski, PhD, OTR/L, Sister Kenny Research Center, Minneapolis, MN

**FACULTY:** Lt Col. John Scherer, PhD, U.S. Army Medical Research Materiel Command, Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program, Fort Detrick, MD; Douglas Cooper, PhD, Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, TX; Linda Resnik, PT, PhD, OCS, Brown University/Providence VA Medical Center, Providence, RI; Jason Wilken, MPT, PhD, Center for the Intrepid/Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, TX; Leighton Chan, MD,
LEARNING OBJECTIVES

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe military rehabilitation research entities/structures, funding mechanisms, research priorities, and examples of military rehabilitation studies now underway.
2. Discuss critical success factors for military-civilian research collaborations.
3. Determine the potential relevance of current military rehabilitation research to civilian rehabilitation practice.

**Exercise After Stroke: Clinical Models, Cardiometabolic Health and Community Translation**

9:30 AM – 11:00 AM / HANOVER F & G

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Richard Macko, MD, Veterans Affairs Maryland Health Care System, Baltimore, MD

**FACULTY:** Christine MacDonell, CARF, Aging Services and Medical Rehabilitation, Washington, DC; Alexander Dromerick, MD, National Rehabilitation Hospital and Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC; Mary Stuart, PhD, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Catonsville, MD; Marilyn MacKay-Lyons, PhD, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

The facilitation of research by the International Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF) accredited sites presents an enormous opportunity to prospectively analyze and improve systems level stroke rehabilitation care. The possibility that CARF could galvanize real world research to improve stroke care in an ongoing fashion may be the most important tool enacted by the new CARF standards. Targeted areas for health research, common outcomes and language, consideration to ethnic and geographic disparities, and long-term clinically relevant tracking become possible, but only if this initiative is properly harnessed by clinical researchers and health services investigators.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the International CARF mission and the new standards for medical rehabilitation of stroke.
2. Recognize the role of facilitating research including new approaches to rehabilitative care and risk factor modification.
3. Recognize the importance of preventing physical inactivity and physical deconditioning to vascular health, physical function, and cognitive function after stroke.
4. Develop possible strategies for addressing this new standard to optimize health and function for stroke survivors across the continuum of care.
LONG-TERM MEDICAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS OF CARE WITH COMMENTS FROM A STAKEHOLDER PANEL

Although evidenced-based guidelines do not exist for this patient group, practitioners are increasingly being called on to manage these patients acutely and long-term. In the absence of guidelines, Part 2 of this symposium, slated for Saturday, October 15 2:00 pm – 3:30 pm, is an educational series of the complex comorbidities, shared treatment approaches, and case studies from both the civilian and military/veteran populations.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe common medical comorbidities of persons with disorders of consciousness.
2. Compare and contrast medical comorbidities for the veteran and civilian populations with this disorder.
3. Identify common reasons for re-hospitalization among people with this disorder.

PART 1: MEDICAL AND BEHAVIORAL COMPLEXITY OF PERSONS WITH DISORDERS OF CONSCIOUSNESS

There is growing evidence that persons with disorders of consciousness referred for inpatient rehabilitation improve in cognitive, motor, and behavioral domains. Although rehabilitation samples are selected, the dynamics of acute rehabilitation that may enhance these outcomes are unknown. Speculation includes enhanced medical management of common comorbidities of this patient group may facilitate recovery and outcome. However, there is a paucity of data regarding the frequency and nature of the medical complexities of persons with DOCs. Moreover, no established guidelines exist about their long-term medical management.

The purpose of Part 1 of this symposium series is to present data from the same three separate multi-center studies examining in greater detail the complex medical needs of persons with DOCs. Discussion regarding

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Recognize what comprises an activity-based therapy program, the interventions used, intended beneficiaries, and intended benefits.
2. Describe the empirical evidence to support benefits of activity-based therapy programs, including improvements in health and wellness.
3. Recognize the obstacles to formal validation studies, such as randomized clinical trials.
4. Reflect on the return-on-investment in activity-based therapy programs as well as the efforts required to maintain any gains in neuromotor functioning attained.

MEASURING THE IMPACT OF COGNITIVE DEFICITS IN THE REAL WORLD

The importance of using ecologically valid measures to assess and evaluate outcomes in adults with acquired brain injury will be highlighted. Information about three innovative assessments that measure aspects of complex everyday-life performance, and provide information about cognitive impairments that interfere with this performance, will be presented. Also included will be a discussion of the clinical and research utility of assessments of everyday-life performance, as they relate to adults with acquired brain injury and multiple sclerosis.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Recognize the issues related to limited ecological validity of standardized neuropsychological assessments.
2. Describe three assessments of real-world behavior that provide insight into clients’ cognitive impairments and abilities.
3. Consider the relevance of these assessments to clinical and/or research settings.

NEW ADVANCES IN ASSESSING SAFETY RISK FOLLOWING TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Safety risk is an area of concern for the entire interdisciplinary rehabilitation team. This session provides synthesized empirical data on the perceptions of family members who observe survivors after return to the

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Identify common reasons for re-hospitalization among people with this disorder.

ACTIVITY-BASED THERAPIES IN SPINAL CORD INJURY

Gain insight into the return-on-investment in activity-based therapy programs and the long-term maintenance needs. This session is designed to give attendees a better understanding of activity-based therapy programs, the interventions used, intended beneficiaries, and intended benefits. Empirical evidence to support the benefits of activity-based therapy programs, including improvements in health and wellness will be presented.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe common medical comorbidities of persons with disorders of consciousness.
2. Compare and contrast medical comorbidities for the veteran and civilian populations with this disorder.
3. Identify common reasons for re-hospitalization among people with this disorder.
home and community on a wide range of activities — information that otherwise would be unavailable to clinicians.

The lack of a standardized safety measure has limited the data collection efforts of researchers who study TBI rehabilitation. This lack of data limits researchers’ ability to target causal factors in experimental interventions that might reduce risk and injuries among persons with TBI. We will present data on scales that measure safety risk specific to individual impairments (in areas such as attention, physical functioning, impulsivity, and judgment) that are most often linked to safety risk after TBI.

Insurers are also keenly aware of the need to improve safety risk to appropriately provide for supervision resources and often express interest in improved assessment. The prognostic data validating the safety risk measure will be of interest to providers as well as clinicians.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Define safety, risk and harm and discuss components of a theoretical model of safety risk following TBI based on the WHO model of disability.
2. Describe family members’ perceptions of survivors’ safety risk behavior on 121 activities in the home and community including primary environmental risk and protective factors.
3. Discuss the use of safety measure data, identified risk factors, and survivor functioning for treatment planning and harm-prevention strategies.

LUNCH (ON OWN) 12:45 PM – 2:00 PM

CONCURRENT SYMPOSIA
Updating the Neurological Classification for Prognosis in Traumatic SCI

2:00 PM – 3:30 PM / HANOVER A & B

COURSE DIRECTOR: Ralph Marino, MD, MS, FACRM, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

FACULTY: Daniel Graves, PhD, FACRM, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; John Steeves, PhD, ICORD, Vancouver, BC, CN; M.J. Mulcahey, PhD, OTR/L, Shriners Hospitals for Children, Philadelphia, PA

Data will be presented from several longitudinal databases: Sygen, SCI Model Systems, EMSCI. Faculty includes experts on the reliability of the neurological exam in adults and children. Participants will help design a study to improve accuracy of prognosis after SCI.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the effect on prognosis of changes to the classification of SCI that have been proposed (e.g., different definition for incomplete, separating light touch and pin prick preservation).
2. Compare the reliability and validity of components of the neurological exam in adults and children.
3. List three modifications to the current classification of SCI that could improve the prognostic validity of the classification.

There is growing evidence that persons with disorders of consciousness referred for inpatient rehabilitation improve in cognitive, motor, and behavioral domains. Although rehabilitation samples are selected, the dynamics of acute rehabilitation may enhance these outcomes are unknown. Speculation includes enhanced medical management of common comorbidities of this patient group may facilitate recovery and outcome. However, there is a paucity of data regarding the frequency and nature of the medical complexities of persons with DOCS. Further, no established guidelines exist about their long-term medical management.

The purpose of Part 1 of this symposium, slated for Saturday, October 15 11:15 am – 12:45 pm, is to present data from the same three separate multi-center studies examining in greater detail the complex medical needs of persons with DOCS. The session will include discussion regarding long-term medical management systems of care with comments from a stakeholder panel.

Although evidenced based guidelines do not exist for this patient group, practitioners are increasingly being called on to manage these patients acutely and long-term. In the absence of guidelines, Part 2 of this symposium is an educational series of the complex comorbidities, shared treatment approaches, and case studies from both the civilian and military/ veteran populations.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES
To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. List four common medical comorbidities in severe TBI.
2. Describe how alertness and cognition are influenced by medical comorbidities for persons with severe TBI.
3. Describe the clinical presentation of common medical comorbidities among persons with limited cognitive and behavioral repertoire.
Principles of Community Based Residential Care for Persons with TBI

**2:00 PM – 3:30 PM / HANOVER C**

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Nathan Zasler, MD, Tree of Life Services, Inc., Richmond, VA

**FACULTY:** Michael Martelli, PhD, Tree of Life Services, Inc., Richmond, VA

The session will provide information on the long-term community management of persons with TBI and address challenges that are commonplace but unfortunately not necessarily well addressed in the neurorehabilitation literature. Attendees will gain tools to facilitate more effective long-term management of persons with TBI once in community.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe methods for maintaining motivation and hope in long-term community based care of persons with TBI.
2. Describe the effects of aging with neurodisability including cognitive and physical impairment progression in long-term community-based care of persons with TBI.
3. Recognize the ethical issues related to management of risk in the context of maximizing in long-term community based care of persons with TBI.

Implementing Engaged Scholarship in Rehabilitation Research

**2:00 PM – 3:30 PM / HANOVER F & G**

**COURSE DIRECTOR:** Angelle Sander, PhD, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

**FACULTY:** John Morris, PhD, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA; Lisa Hannold, PhD, North Florida/South Georgia VA Medical Center, Gainesville, FL; Anthony Lequerica, PhD, Kessler Foundation Research Center, West Orange, NJ

This session will assist rehabilitation researchers in promoting knowledge translation through the process of engaged scholarship and provide rehabilitation researchers with practical tips on how to engage consumers in the research process. Rehabilitation researchers will learn how technology can be used to engage consumers, including use of social media and techniques to engage consumers from diverse populations.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

To support the attainment of knowledge, competence, and performance, the learner should be able to achieve the following objectives:

1. Describe the concept of engaged scholarship and give 2 examples of how it can be applied in rehabilitation research.
2. Describe 2 uses of technology to engage consumers in rehabilitation research.
3. Describe 2 techniques that can be used to implement engaged scholarship with diverse populations.

ACRM Board Meeting

**2:00 PM – 5:45 PM / DUNWOODY**

**SUNDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2011**

ACRM Board Meeting

**8:00 AM – 12:15 PM / DUNWOODY**
**FACULTY**
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamela Duncan, PhD, PT, FAPTA, FAHA</td>
<td>Duke University, Durham, NC</td>
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The American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine (ACRM) offers the opportunity twice yearly for Congress members to serve as guest editors of our Summer or Winter Supplement in the Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (Archives). This is a unique opportunity for an experienced rehabilitation researcher or group of researchers to compile a thematically based Supplement to the Archives.

The goal of the twice-yearly ACRM Supplement to the Archives is to publish high quality scientific articles that are thematically organized and of special interest to ACRM members and to the general readership of the Archives. While all manuscripts go through peer review by the Archives editorial board prior to publication, the guest editor has considerable latitude in determining the content and tone of the Supplement. We strive to make these Supplements ‘classic’ collections of articles organized around special themes relevant to the interests and spheres of activities of ACRM members.

This is a unique opportunity to compile and edit a thematically organized collection of high-quality scientific articles that will be published in a highly ranked journal with a broad impact.
Provided here are the abstracts of scientific papers and posters presented at the 2011 ACRM-ASNR Annual Conference: Progress in Rehabilitation Research, Atlanta, Georgia, October 11 – 15, 2011. Papers and posters were chosen by the joint ACRM–ASNR program committee. The abstracts have not been subjected to formal peer review by the Editorial Board of the Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Abstracts are published online in the October issue of Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, and can be found online at www.archives-pmr.org.

ORAL PRESENTATIONS

NEUROREHABILITATION

Article 1 (NIDRR)
**Toward a Practical Brain-Computer Interface for Individuals with Severe Motor Disabilities.**
Stefanie Blain (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), Jane Huggins, Timothy Stump, Patrick Monahan.
Key Words: Nonverbal communication; Attitude to computers; Community-based participatory research; Electroencephalography; Rehabilitation.

Article 5
**Empowerment/Engagement: Front-line Clinicians Enhance the Research Process.**
Julie Gassaway (Institute for Clinical Outcomes Research, Salt Lake City, UT), Gale Whiteneck.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

STROKE

Article 6
**Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation Efficacy in Acute Stroke Tube Dependent Dysphagia.**
David Kushner (University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, FL), Kenneth Peters, Stacy Thomashaw-Eroglu, Perless Melissa, Douglas Johnson-Greene.
Key Words: Stroke; Dysphagia; Rehabilitation; Neuromuscular electrical stimulation; Functional Oral Intake Scale

TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY (TBI)

Article 7
**Results of the Citicoline Brain Injury Treatment (COBRIT) Trial.**
Thomas Novack (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Ross Zafonte, Beth Ansel, Sureyya Dikmen, Tessa Hart, Joe Ricker, Dale Hesdorffer, Keith Atkins, Kim Boase, Bizhan Aarabi, Carlos Marquez de la Plata, Nancy Hsu.
Key Words: Traumatic brain injury; Acute intervention; Citicoline; Rehabilitation.

Article 8 (NIDRR)
**Relationship of Preinjury Coping on Outcomes in Adults with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury.**
Kacey Maestas (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, Brain Injury Research Center, TIRR Memorial Hermann, Houston, TX), Angelle Sander.
Key Words: Brain injuries, traumatic; Rehabilitation; Adaptation.

SPINAL CORD INJURY (SCI)

Article 2
**Efficacy of “Care Call” Telerehabilitation Intervention for Persons with Spinal Cord Dysfunction: Randomized Controlled Trial.**
Bethlyn Vergo Houlihan (New England Regional SCI Center, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA, Department of Health Policy & Management, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA), Alan Jette, Pengsheng Ni, Michael Paasche-Orlow, Robert H. Friedman, Stan Ducharme, Jane Vierbicky, Judi Zazula, David Rosenblum, Steve Williams.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Article 3 (NIDRR)
**Recovery of Overground Locomotion via Targeted Robotic Gait Training in Rats with SCI.**
Nathan Neckel (Georgetown University, Washington, DC), Barbara Bregman.
Key Words: Robotics; Gait dysfunction, neurologic; Spinal cord injuries; Animal research; Rehabilitation.

Article 4 (NIDRR)
**Substance Use in Young Adults with Pediatric-onset Spinal Cord Injury.**
Miriam Hwang (Shriners Hospitals for Children, Chicago, IL, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI), Kathy Zebracki, Kathleen Chian, Lawrence Vogel.
Key Words: Pediatric; Spinal cord injury; Substance use; Outcome; Rehabilitation.
Article 9
Stephanie Kolakowsky-Hayner (Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, San Jose, CA), Jerry Wright, Kimbely Bellon, Jeffrey Englander, Tamara Bushnik.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Brain injury; Walking.

Article 10 (NIDRR)
Screening for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Comparison of Two Distinct Approaches.
Yelena Goldin-Lauretta (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), Wayne Gordon, Yuka Matsuzawa, Tiffany Mitchell, Lisa Spielman, Theodore Tsao, Joshua Cantor.
Key Words: Traumatic brain injury; Screening; Rehabilitation.

OTHER
Article 11
Excessive Central Fatigue Limits Motor Function of Cancer Survivors with Fatigue Symptom.
Guang Yue (Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH), Ela Plow, Mellar Davis.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

POSTER PRESENTATIONS

MEASUREMENT
Poster 1
Test- Retest Reliability of the Functional Mobility Assessment (FMA).
Amit Kumar (Department of Rehabilitation Science and Technology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, Division of Rehabilitation Science, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX), Mark Schmeler, Amol Karmarkar, Diane Collins, Rosemarie Cooper, Rory Cooper, Hyekyoung Shin, Margo Holm.
Key Words: Outcomes; Assistive device; Functional; Test-retest; Reliability; Rehabilitation.

Poster 2
Responsiveness of Four Participation Measures for Outcomes of Outpatient Rehabilitation.
Carlijn van der Zee (Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience and Center of Excellence for Rehabilitation Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht and Rehabilitation Center De Hoogstraat, Utrecht, The Netherlands), Marcel Post.
Key Words: Social participation; Outcome assessment; Rehabilitation.

Poster 3
Evaluation of Patient and Proxy Responses on the Activity Measure for Post-Acute Care.
Alan Jette (Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA), Pengsheng Ni, Elizabeth Rasch, Jed Appelman, M. Elizabeth Sandel, Leighton Chan.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Functional recovery; Outcomes.

Poster 4
Validation of the Utrecht Scale for Evaluation of Rehabilitation – Participation (USER-Participation).
Marcel Post (De Hoogstraat, Utrecht, The Netherlands, Swiss Paraplegic Research, Nottwil, Switzerland), Carlijn Van der Zee.
Key Words: Validation studies; Disabled persons; Questionnaires; Outcome assessment; Community participation; Rehabilitation.

Poster 5
A Systematic Review of the Health-related Quality of Life Measures following Total Knee Arthroplasty.
Saurabh Mehta (McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada), Mary Law, Jean-Sébastien Roy.
Key Words: Health-related quality of life; Total knee arthroplasty; Patient-reported outcomes; Clinimetric properties; Rehabilitation.

Poster 6
Evaluation of Item Difficulty on the Coma Recovery Scale with Implications for Emergence Criteria.
Shital Pavawalla (James A. Haley Veterans’ Hospital, Tampa, FL), Risa Nakase-Richardson, Stuart Yablon, Mark Sherer, Clea Evans, Scott Barnett.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Traumatic brain injury (TBI); Minimally conscious state (MCS).

Poster 7
Normative Data for the Balance Error Scoring System in Community-Dwelling Adults.
Grant Iverson (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, Copeman Healthcare Centre, Vancouver, BC, Canada), Michael Koehle.
Key Words: Brain injuries; Balance; Rehabilitation.

Poster 8
Joydip Barman (Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, Department of Psychology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Gitendra Uswatte, Touraj Ghaffari, Nilanjan Sarkar, Brad Sokal, Ezekiel Byrom, Eva Trinh, Christopher Varghese, Michael Brever, Alan Shih.
Keywords: Arm; Activity; Ambulatory monitoring; Rehabilitation; Stroke.
Poster 9
Terry Breisinger (UPMC Rehabilitation Institute, Pittsburgh, PA), Grace Campbell.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Stroke; Accidental falls.

Poster 10
Accuracy of a Functional Activity Monitor in Identifying Functional Activities, Activity Duration and Step Frequencies.
Inbal Sapir (Boston University, College of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Boston MA), Robert Wagenaar, Stacey Markovic, Yuting Zhang, Cheng-Chieh Lin, Lucia Vaina, Thomas Little.
Key Words: Functional activities monitor; Accelerometer; Gyroscope; Rehabilitation.

Poster 11
Relative Responsiveness of a 9-Item Version of the Arm Motor Ability Test after Robotics Treatment in Persons with Stroke.
Michael O’Dell (Division of Rehabilitation Medicine and Department of Public Health, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY), Grace Kim, Paul Christos, Lisa Rivera, Kerri Fitzgerald, Delia Gorga.
Key Words: Stroke; Responsiveness; Arm motor ability test; Rehabilitation.

Poster 12
Addressing Selection Bias in Observational Rehabilitation Research Using Large Datasets.
Amol Karmarkar (University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX), James Graham, Kenneth Ottenbacher.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Measurement; Observational Rehabilitation Research.

Poster 13
Concordance of Actigraphy with Overnight Polysomnography: A Pilot Study.
Tracy S. Kretzmer (Department of Mental Health and Behavioral Sciences, James A. Haley Veterans Hospital, Tampa, FL), University of South Florida, Department of Psychology, Tampa, FL), Bradley J. Daniels, Praveen K. Gootam, Marissa McCarthy, Bryan Merritt, William M. Anderson, Risa Nakase-Richardson.
Key Words: Polysomnography (PSG); Actigraphy (ACG); Traumatic brain injury (TBI); Sleep; Rehabilitation.

Poster 14
Advanced Longitudinal Data Analysis.
Christopher Pretz (Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO), Scott Kreider.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Longitudinal Data Analysis.

Poster 15
Self Reported Functional Outcome Measure in the Field of Prosthesis: A Systematic Review.
Pallavi Sood (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA), Diane Collins, Mark Schmeiler, Amit Kumar, Harry Browne, Amol Karmarkar.
Key Words: Functional outcome measures; Lower extremity amputation; Prosthetics; Reliability and validity; Rehabilitation.

NEUROREHABILITATION

Poster 16
Progress in Four Postacute Brain Rehabilitation Program Types Compared through the MPaI-4 Outcome Info System.
James Malec (Indiana University School of Medicine, Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN), Vicki Eicher, Mary Pat Murphy, Thomas Murphy.
Key Words: Brain injury; Rehabilitation; Outcome measurement.

Poster 17
Comprehensive Care in Multiple Sclerosis—From Theory to Practice.
Ben Thrower (Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA), Andrew C. Carlos.
Key Words: Multiple sclerosis; Comprehensive care; Managed care organization; Rehabilitation.

Poster 18
Early Impaired Self-Awareness is Associated with Age and Depression in Acquired Brain Injury.
Shannon Juengst (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA), Emily Grattan, Elizabeth Skidmore.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Brain injuries; Awareness.

Poster 19
Strategy Training to Ameliorate the Effects of Age-related Executive Dysfunction: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial.
Deirdre Dawson (University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, Kunin-Lunenfeld Applied Research Unit, Baycrest, Toronto, Canada), Julie Richardson, Malcolm Binns, Helene Polatajko, Angela Troyer, Gordon Winocur, Tom Schweizer.
Key Words: Aging; Executive function; Rehabilitation.

Poster 20
Changes in Motor-evoked Potential Induced by Non-invasive Transcutaneous Direct Current Stimulation of the Neck.
Hyung-Ik Shin (Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea), Chai-Young Lim.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Neurorehabilitation; Non-invasive Transcutaneous Stimulation.
Poster 21
Summer Ibarra, (Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN), Samantha Backhaus, Devan Parrott.
Key Words: Brain injury; Social problem solving; Rehabilitation.

Poster 22
Speed’s Impact on Muscle Demands during Partial Body Weight Supported Training on a Motorized Elliptical.
Judith M. Burnfield (Madonna Rehabilitation Hospital’s Institute for Rehabilitation Science & Engineering, Lincoln, NE), Gretchen A. Hildner, Thad W. Buster, Adam P. Taylor, Yu Shu.
Key Words: Gait; Rehabilitation; Electromyography; Exercise therapy.

Poster 23
The Effect of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Brain Injured Patients with Dysphagia.
Gijeong Yun (Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Songpa-gu/ Pungnap-2dong, Republic of Korea), Sook Joung Lee, Min-Ho Chun.
Key Words: Stroke; rTMS; Dysphagia; Swallowing; Neurorehabilitation; Rehabilitation.

Poster 24
The Effect of Wii-based Interventions on Physical, Cognitive and Social Functioning among Pre-frail Elderly Persons.
Salvador Bondoc (Quinnipiac University, Hamden, CT), Pamela Hewitt, Nicole Frey, Brittany McQuide, Amy Johnson.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Neurorehabilitation; Wii-based Intervention; Pre-Frail Elderly Persons.

Poster 25
New Access Technology for Individuals with Severe Physical Limitations Using AAC.
Susan Fager (Madonna Rehabilitation Hospital, Lincoln, NE), David Beukelman.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Neurorehabilitation; Technology; Severe Physical Limitations; AAC.

Poster 26
Memory Notebook Training for Individuals with Acquired Brain Injury with Involvement of Significant Other.
Kristine Kingsley (NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY), Donna Langenbahn.
Key Words: Memory notebook training; Significant other; Acquired brain injury; Rehabilitation.

Poster 27
Impaired Left-Dominant Brain-Immune Network as a Driver of Infection in Brain Injury Patients.
Pasquele Frisina (Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation, West Orange, NJ), Pei Chen, Ann Kutlik, Anna Barrett.
Key Words: Brain-lateralization; Immune-function; Brain-injured patients; Health-outcomes; Rehabilitation.

Poster 28
Effects of Parkinson Disease (PD) on Heading Direction and Coordination of Walking During Navigation.
Cheng-Chieh Lin (College of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences: Sargent College, Boston University, Boston, MA), Robert Wagenaar, Daniel Young, Alice Cronin-Golomb.
Key Words: Optic flow speed; Spatial frequency; Lateral drift; Parkinson’s disease; Rehabilitation.

Poster 29
Very Early Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (VECTORS): Effects of Timing or Dose on Upper Extremity Function.
Hui-Chun Chen (Department of Kinesiology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI), Alexander W. Dromerick, Dorothy Farrar Edwards.
Key Words: Exercise therapy; Stroke; Rehabilitation.

Poster 30
The Adequacy of Depression Treatment after Spinal Cord Injury or Traumatic Brain Injury.
Charles Bombardier (University of Washington, Seattle, WA).
Key Words: Traumatic brain injury; Spinal cord injury; Depression; Treatment; Rehabilitation.

Poster 31
Assessing Neglect in Severely Brain-injured Patients Diagnosed with Minimally Conscious State.
Caroline Schnakers (Coma Science Group, Cyclotron Research Centre, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium), Karin Sparmant, John Whyte, Steven Laureys, Steve Majerus.
Key Words: Severe brain injury; Minimally conscious state; Attention; Neglect; Rehabilitation.

Poster 32
Effects of Treadmill Inclination in the Gait of Individuals with Chronic Hemiparesis.
Ana Lindquist (Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil), Cinthia Moreno, Luciana Mendes, Emilia Silva, Heloisa Britto.
Key Words: Stroke; Treadmill test; Rehabilitation; Kinematics.

Poster 33
A Population Based Perspective of Older Adults with Acquired Brain Injury (ABI).
Angela Colantonio (Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, University of Toronto, Dept. of Occupational Science and Occupational Therapy, Toronto, Ontario, Canada), Amy Chen, Rika Vander Laan, Daria Parsons, Brandon Zagoski.
Key Words: Acquired brain injury; Older adults; Rehabilitation.

Poster 34
Discrepant Verbal Versus Nonverbal Memory in OEF/OIF combat Veterans and Possible Association with Tinnitus.
James J. Muir (Veterans Affairs Northern California Health Care System, Martinez, CA), Michael A. Cole, Utaka Springer, Diana Partovi.
Key Words: Traumatic brain injury (or TBI); Memory; Tinnitus; Rehabilitation.
Poster 35
Effects Of Alcohol Use In Veterans With Mild TBI and/or Behavioral Health Conditions.
Amy Herrold (Hines VA Hospital, Hines, IL), Judi Babcock-Parziale, Walter High, Bridget Smith, Charlesnika Evans, Amanda Urban, Kurt Noblett, Theresa Pape.
Key Words: Alcohol; Traumatic brain injury; Depression; Anxiety; Rehabilitation.

Poster 36
The Influence of Corrective Lenses on Foot Displacement during Gait: Implications on Falling and Disability.
Dennis Tomashek (University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI), Kevin Keenan, Kurt Beschorner, Roger Smith.
Key Words: Gait; Falls; Multifocal lens glasses; Motion analysis; Rehabilitation.

SPINAL CORD INJURY (SCI)

Poster 37
Patient Factors causing therapists to change Treatments In SCI Rehabilitation.
Marcel Dijkers (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), Jeanne Zanca, Gale Whiteneck.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Health services; Health services research; Hospitals; Allied health personnel; Comorbidity.

Poster 38
Factors Complicating SCI Rehabilitation: Cause or Consequence of Long Stays?
Marcel Dijkers (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), Gale Whiteneck, Jeanne Zanca.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Health services; Health services research; Hospitals; Allied health personnel; Comorbidity.

Poster 39
Forced Change In treatments Sessions: therapists’ Ratings of Patient Participation.
Marcel Dijkers (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), Jeanne Zance, Gale Whiteheck.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Health services; Health services research; Hospitals; Allied health personnel; Comorbidity.

Poster 40
Key Words: Functional electrical stimulation; Cycling; Spinal cord injury; Pediatrics; Rehabilitation.

Poster 41
Perceived Benefits from Participating in an Underwater Treadmill Training Program.
Sandra L. Stevens (Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN).
Key Words: Spinal cord injury; Underwater treadmill; Gait training; Rehabilitation.

Poster 42
Development of the Nottwil Environmental Factors Inventory for SCI (NEFI-SCI).
Jan Reinhardt (Department of Health Sciences and Health Policy, University of Lucerne and SPF, Nottwil, Lucerne, Switzerland, Swiss Paraplegic Research, Nottwil, Lucerne, Switzerland), Sibylle Graf, Marie-Eve Drouin, Marcel Post, Gale Whiteneck, Luc Noreau, Susan Charlifue.
Key Words: Activities of daily living; Adaptation; Psychological Disability evaluation; Environment; Environment design; Outcome assessment (Health Care); Psychometrics; Rehabilitation; Reproducibility of results; Social environment; Spinal cord injuries.

Poster 43
Association of Race, Cultural Factors, and Quality of Life in Persons with Spinal Cord Injury.
Larissa Myaskovsky (VA Pittsburgh Healthcare System, Pittsburgh, PA, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA), Kelly Burkitt, Alison Lichy, Inger Ljungberg, Denise Fyffe, Haishin Ozawa, Galen Switzer, Michael Fine, Michael Boninger.
Key Words: Health status disparities; Minority health; Quality of life; Rehabilitation; Spinal cord injuries.

Poster 44
Gluteal Blood Flow and Oxygenation During Muscle Activation vs. Pressure Relief Movements in Wheelchair Users.
Thomas Janssen (Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Reade, Center for Rehabilitation and Rheumatology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), Tim van Dijk, Marenka Zwinkels, Christof Smit.
Key Words: Electrical stimulation; Pressure ulcer; Paraplegia; Tetraplegia; Paralysis; Rehabilitation.

Poster 45
Client-centeredness in Inpatient Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation: Results from an Exploratory Study.
Christina Papadimitriou (Northern Illinois University, Dekalb, IL).
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Client-centeredness; SCI.

Poster 46
Management of Syringomyelia Post SCI: An Evidence Based Review.
Swati Mehta (Lawson Health Research Institute, London, ON, Canada, St. Joseph’s Health Care London, London, ON, Canada), Robert Teasell, Keith Sequeira.
Key Words: Spinal cord injury; Rehabilitation; Syringomyelia.

Poster 47
Evidence Based Management of Depression following Spinal Cord Injury: A Meta-analysis.
Swati Mehta (Lawson Health Research Institute, London, ON, Canada, St. Joseph’s Health Care London, London, ON, Canada), Steve Orenczuk, Robert Teasell.
Key Words: Spinal cord injury; Rehabilitation; Depression.
Poster 48
Somatosensory Augmentation Combined with Active Movement to Improve Upper Limb Function in Individuals with Tetraplegia.
Debbie Backus (Shepherd Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA).
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Upper limb; Tetraplegia.

Poster 49
Shahla Hosseini (University of Pittsburgh, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Pittsburgh, PA), Amanda Harrington, Michelle Oyster, Rory Cooper, Michael Boninger.
Key Words: Spinal cord injuries; Wheelchairs; Rehabilitation.

Poster 50
Yue Cao (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Yuying Chen, Michael J. DeVivo.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Suicide Trends; Mortality.

Poster 51
James S. Krause (Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC), Jennifer L. Bozard, Karla S. Reed.
Key Words: Spinal cord injury; Aging; Social participation; Employment; Quality of life; Adjustment.

Poster 52
Michelle C. Hudson (Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL), Ana Miskovic, Allen W. Heinemann, Catherine S. Wilson.
Key Words: Spinal cord injury; Violence; Psychotherapy; Rehabilitation.

Poster 53
Function Before and After Tendon Transfer for Lateral Pinch and Finger Flexion in Tetraplegic Patient.
Thomas Armstrong (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), Kevin Chung, Sandeep Sebastin, Charoese Woolley, Patricia Burns, Rosemarie Figueroa.
Key Words: Tetraplegia; Hand, Grip; Outcomes assessment; Rehabilitation.

Poster 54
Rehabilitation Outcomes among Individuals with Spinal Cord Disease.
Jean Hsieh (National Rehabilitation Hospital, Washington, DC), Suzanne Groah, Inger Ljungberg, Gerben DeJong.
Key Words: Spinal diseases; Rehabilitation; Treatment outcome.

Poster 55
Group Therapy Utilization in Inpatient Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation.
Jeanne Zanca (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), Marcel Dijkers, Gale Whiteneck.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Group Therapy; Inpatient Spinal Cord Injury.

Poster 56
The Relationship of Life Satisfaction to Neurological Category and Time Post Spinal Cord Injury.
Jerry Wright (Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, San Jose, CA), Stephanie Kolakowsky-Hayner.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Injuries; Spinal cord injuries; Quality of Life.

Poster 57
Memory Self-efficacy Training after Stroke; Predictors of Success.
Ellen van Loon (Rijndam Rehabilitation Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands), Laurien Aben, Majanka Heijenbrok-Kal, Erny Groet, Rudolf Ponds, Jan Busschbach, Gerard Ribbers.
Key Words: Neurorehabilitation; Stroke; Memory training; Memory self-efficacy; Rehabilitation.

Poster 58
Therapeutic Intensity and Functional Gains during Inpatient Rehabilitation.
Hua Wang (Kaiser Foundation Rehabilitation Center, Vallejo, CA), Michelle Camicia, M. Elizabeth Sandel, Joseph Terdiman, Murali K. Mannava, Steve Sidney.
Key Words: Rehabilitation therapy; functional outcomes; intensity; Rehabilitation.

STROKE
Poster 59
Memory Self-efficacy Training After Stroke; Predictors of Success.
Ellen van Loon (Rijndam Rehabilitation Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands), Laurien Aben, Majanka Heijenbrok-Kal, Erny Groet, Rudolf Ponds, Jan Busschbach, Gerard Ribbers.
Key Words: Neurorehabilitation; Stroke; Memory training; Memory self-efficacy; Rehabilitation.

Poster 60
Impact of Electrical Stimulation Parameters on Muscle Torque and Fatigue in People with SCI.
C. Scott Bickel (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Donald Lein, Chris Gregory.
Key Words: Neuromuscular electrical stimulation; Muscle fatigue; Skeletal muscle; Spinal cord injury; Rehabilitation.
Poster 61  
**Unilateral Spatial Neglect May Not Impede Upper Extremity Recovery in Individuals with Sub-acute Stroke.**  
Emily Grattan (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA), Elizabeth Skidmore.  
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Perceptual disorder; Hemiplegia.

Poster 62  
**Pseudobulbar Affect in Stroke: A National Stroke Association Survey.**  
Stephen Page (University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH), Amy Jensen, Susan Work.  
Key Words: Cerebrovascular accident; Affect; Stroke; Data collection; Rehabilitation.

Poster 63  
**Retention of Motor Changes in Chronic Stroke Survivors Who Were Administered Mental Practice.**  
Stephen Page (University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH), Valerie Hermann, Peter Levine.  
Key Words: Hemiplegia; Cerebrovascular accident; Occupational therapy; Rehabilitation.

Poster 64  
**Influence of Biofeedback on Gait Training of Hemiparetic Subjects: Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial.**  
Ana Lindquist (Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil), Ana Brasiliero, Larissa Lucena, Gabriela Gama, Emilia Silva.  
Key Words: Stroke; Biofeedback; Gait; Hemiparesis; Rehabilitation.

Poster 65  
**3 Dimensional Instrumented Gait Analyses (3DIGA): A Novel Approach to Analyze Post Stroke Gait Disorder.**  
Abhishek Srivastava (Kokilaben Hospital, Maharashtra, India), Shirish Hastak.  
Key Words: Biomechanics; Gait analysis; Kinematics; Rehabilitation; Stroke.

Poster 66  
**Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) at the Ankle. Risk of Error in Adults with Stroke.**  
Andrea Merlo (Motion Analysis Laboratory, Dept. of Neurorehabilitation, AUSL di Reggio Emilia, Correggio (RE), Italy), Isabella Campanini, Francesco Lombardi.  
Key Words: Muscle spasticity; Ashworth; Assessment; Validation study; Diagnostic error; Rehabilitation.

Poster 67  
**Stroke Caregiving Influences Cognitive Functioning among Older Adults.**  
Amanda Botticello (Kessler Foundation Research Center, West Orange, NJ, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ), Peii Chen.  
Key Words: Care giver; Cognition; Stroke; Rehabilitation.
Poster 74
Non-Infarcted Brain Volume Predicts CI Therapy Outcomes in Chronic Stroke.
Tyler Rickards (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Victor Mark, Edward Taub, Chelsey Sterling, Gitendra Uswatte, Ameen Barghi, Michael Graham.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 75
Corpus Callosum Size Predicts Paretic Arm Spontaneous Use and Maximal Movement Ability in Chronic Stroke.
Chelsey Sterling (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Victor Mark, Edward Taub, Tyler Rickards, Gitendra Uswatte, Ameen Barghi, Michael Graham, Angela Chandler.
Key Words: Corpus callosum; Stroke; Arm; Motor recovery; Planimetry; Rehabilitation.

Poster 76
Motor Unit Number Estimates Show Decreases in Paretic Muscles of Stroke Survivors.
Xiaoyan Li (Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL), Ying-Chih Wang, William Zev Rymer, Ping Zhou.
Key Words: Motor unit; Motor unit number index (MUNIX); Surface EMG; M-wave; Stroke; Rehabilitation.

Poster 77
Stroke Outcomes: The Impact of Post-acute Care Site.
Leighton Chan (National Institutes of Health, Clinical Research Center, Rehabilitation Medicine Department, Bethesda, MD), Elizabeth Sandel, Elizabeth Rasch, Joseph Terdiman, Jed Appelman, Diane Brandt, Peigfei Cheng, Allen Jette.
Key Words: Stroke; Rehabilitation; Post-acute care; HMO; Function.

Poster 78
The Effects of Direct Current Brain Polarization on Motor Recovery of Lower Extremity in Stroke.
Hong Min Kim (Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea), Sang Il Lee, Min Ho Chun.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 79
Persistence and Prevalence of Pain in Adults with Hemiparesis: Prospective Observational Study of 51 Patients.
Samuel Bierner (UT Southwestern, Dallas, TX), Jose Barreto, Judith Hembree, David Garrigues, Jennifer Zahn.
Key Words: Stroke; Pain, intractable; Pain measurement; Muscle spasticity; Rehabilitation.

Poster 80
Jean Hsieh (National Rehabilitation Hospital, Washington, DC), Gerben DeJong, Koen Putman, Randall Smout, Susan Horn, Wenqiang Tian.
Key Words: Physical therapy; Rehabilitation; Treatment outcome.

Poster 81
Five-year Mortality and Related Prognostic Factors for Persons with a Stroke Initially Admitted to a Rehabilitation Centre.
Liesbet De Wit (Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium), Koen Putman, Willy De Weerdt.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 82
Robot-assisted Treadmill Training during Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients.
Michiel van Nunen (VU University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), Karin Gerrits, Thomas Janssen, Arnold de Haan.
Key Words: Stroke; Walking ability; Robotics.

Poster 83
Why is Spatial Neglect Under-documented?
Peii Chen (Kessler Foundation Research Center, West Orange, NJ, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ), Pasquale Frisina, Cristin McKenna, Ann Kutlik, Anna Barrett.
Key Words: Stroke rehabilitation; Spatial neglect; Team conference; Medical documentation; Rehabilitation.

Poster 84
An Upper Limb Telerehabilitation Program in Chronic Stroke.
Jeanne M. Langan (School of Kinesiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), Susan H. Brown.
Key Words: Stroke; Telerehabilitation; Rehabilitation; Kinematic.

Poster 85
Robotic Upper Limb Therapy as Treatment for Shoulder Subluxation in Stroke Patients.
Carolin Dohle (The Burke Rehabilitation Hospital, White Plains, NY), Avrielle Rykman, Johanna Zipse, Bruce Volpe.
Key Words: Rehabilitation; Robotic; Stroke.

Poster 86
Visuospatial Training after Right-Brain Stroke.
Peii Chen (Kessler Foundation Research Center, West Orange, NJ, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ), John DeLuca.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.
Poster 87
Elizabeth Skidmore (Kessler Foundation Research Center, West Orange, NJ, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ), Ellen Whyte, Deirdre Dawson, Margo Holm, Emily Grattan, Mary Amanda Dew, James Becker.
Key Words: Stroke; Cognition; Rehabilitation.

Poster 88
Feasibility of Training Dual Task Walking After Stroke.
Prudence Plummer-D’Amato (Northeastern University, Boston, MA), Raymond Villalobos, Moria Vayda, Erin Johnson, Myriam Moser, Laura Hennessy, Joy Huebner, Jacqueline Reinschmidt, Keith Poulin, Bijan Najafi.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 89
Influence of Treadmill Training with Body Weight Support and Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation on Hemiparetic Gait.
Ana Lindquist (Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil), Tatiana Ribeiro, Emilia Silva, Elida Galvão.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 90
Occurrence of Muscle Overactivity in Stroke Patients in Bedrest Condition.
Andrea Merlo (LAM - Motion Analysis Laboratory, Dept of neurorehabilitation, Hosp. of Correggio, AUSL Reggio Emilia, Correggio (RE), Italy), Isabella Campanini.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 91
The Efficacy of Using a Combined Regimen of Portable Robotics and a Repetitive Task Specific Practice to Increase Motor Function in the Upper Arm.
Valerie Hill (University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH), Stephen Page.
Key Words: Rehabilitation.

Poster 92
The Effect of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Subacute Stroke Patients.
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Established in 1988, this award honors professionals who contribute significantly to the development and functioning of ACRM, demonstrating leadership skills, organizational abilities, and public service. Criteria to achieve this award includes membership in good standing plus extraordinary service to this organization, including as a Committee Chair or member, taskforce member, and/or interdisciplinary special interest group or networking group member.

**HONOREES:**
- Martin Grabois, MD, FACRM
  Professor and Chairman
  Dept of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
  Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas
- Stephen Page, PhD, MS, S/OT
  Ohio State University, Cincinnati, Ohio

Gold Key Award
This certificate of merit, established in 1932, is for members of medical and allied professions who render extraordinary service to the cause of rehabilitation. It is the highest honor given by ACRM.

**HONOREE:** Wayne Gordon, PhD, ABPP/Cn, FACRM
Jack Nash Professor & Associate Director
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, New York, NY

Deborah L. Wilkerson Early Career Award
This memorial fund honors Deborah L. Wilkerson, a beloved member, former President, and ACRM Fellow. Deborah was devoted to improving the quality of rehabilitation and independent living services for people who needed them. She demonstrated commitment to person-centered services and was an advocate for individuals with disabilities.

**HONOREE:** Deborah Backus, PhD
Associate Director SCI Research
Shepherd Center
Assistant Professor
Division of Physical Therapy
Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

Fellow of ACRM Award
The Fellow of the ACRM award is presented to individuals who contribute significantly to the field of rehabilitation and to ACRM.

**HONOREE:** Sue Ann Sisto, PT, MA, PhD, FACRM
Professor, Physical Therapy
Research Director, Division of Rehabilitation Sciences
School of Health Technology & Management
Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY

**HONOREE:** Angela Colantonio, PhD, OT Reg. (Ont), FACRM
Saunderson Family Chair in ABI Research
Toronto Rehabilitation Institute
Professor, Department of Occupational Science & Occupational Therapy
University of Toronto
Toronto, ON, Canada

ACRM would like to thank our Institutional Members for their continued support.

The positive impact of aligning with ACRM through Institutional Membership pays in immeasurable ways. If you are interested in becoming an Institutional Member of ACRM please contact Jenny Richard who will customize a package specifically tailored to your organization.

**JENNY RICHARD**
ACRM Director Member Services
EMAIL: jrichard@acrm.org
TEL: +1.703.574.5845
## Past Presidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>Gary Ulicny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>Joseph Giacino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>Wayne Gordon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>Marcel Dijkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td>Gerben DeJong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>Mitchell Rosenthal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2005</td>
<td>Allen W. Heinemann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-2004</td>
<td>Keith D. Cicerone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>Deborah L. Wilkerson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>Martin Grabois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2000</td>
<td>Steven R. Hinderer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-1999</td>
<td>Kathleen Fraleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1998</td>
<td>Theodore M. Cole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-1997</td>
<td>Karen A. Hart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-1996</td>
<td>Thomas P. Dixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-1995</td>
<td>Thomas E. Strax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993-1994</td>
<td>Robert H. Meier III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991-1992</td>
<td>Carmella Gonnella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989-1990</td>
<td>Dorothy L. Gordon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988-1989</td>
<td>Leonard Diller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987-1988</td>
<td>John L. Melvin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986-1987</td>
<td>Dorotha D. Glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985-1986</td>
<td>Don A. Olson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984-1985</td>
<td>Glenn Gullickson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983-1984</td>
<td>Alfred J. Szumski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-1982</td>
<td>Victor Cummings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-1981</td>
<td>Marcus J. Fuhrer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-1980</td>
<td>Wilbert E. Fordyce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-1979</td>
<td>Thomas P. Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-1978</td>
<td>June S. Rothberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-1977</td>
<td>John E. Affeldt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-1976</td>
<td>Henry B. Betts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1975</td>
<td>John W. Goldschmidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>Rene Cailliet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>James W. Rae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>Leonard D. Policoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>Herman J. Flax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>Jerome W. Gersten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-1969</td>
<td>William A. Spencer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-1968</td>
<td>Sidney Licht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-1967</td>
<td>Edward W. Lowman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1966</td>
<td>Lewis A. Leavitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-1965</td>
<td>William J. Erdman II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-1964</td>
<td>Charles D. Shields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-1963</td>
<td>Jerome S. Tobis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-1962</td>
<td>Donald J. Erickson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-1961</td>
<td>Donald A. Covalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958-1959</td>
<td>Arthur C. Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958-1959</td>
<td>Frederic J. Kottke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957-1958</td>
<td>Donald L. Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956-1957</td>
<td>A.B.C. Knudson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955-1956</td>
<td>Gordon M. Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954-1955</td>
<td>William D. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953-1954</td>
<td>William B. Snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952-1953</td>
<td>Walter M. Solomon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951-1952</td>
<td>Robert L. Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950-1951</td>
<td>Arthur L. Atkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949-1950</td>
<td>Earl C. Elkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948-1949</td>
<td>O. Leonard Huddleston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947-1948</td>
<td>H. Worley Kendall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946-1947</td>
<td>Walter S. McClellan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944-1946</td>
<td>Miland E. Knapp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943-1944</td>
<td>Kristian G. Hansson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942-1943</td>
<td>Fred B. Moor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941-1942</td>
<td>Abraham R. Hollender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940-1941</td>
<td>Nathan H. Polmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939-1940</td>
<td>William H. Schmidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938-1939</td>
<td>Frank H. Krusen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1937-1938</td>
<td>Frederick L. Wahrer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936-1937</td>
<td>William Bierman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935-1936</td>
<td>John S. Hibben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934-1935</td>
<td>William J. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933-1934</td>
<td>Albert F. Tyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932-1933</td>
<td>Gustav Kolischer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931-1932</td>
<td>Frank H. Ewerhardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930-1931</td>
<td>Roy W. Fouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1929-1930</td>
<td>Norman T. Titus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928-1929</td>
<td>Frank Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1927-1928</td>
<td>James C. Elsom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1926-1927</td>
<td>Disraeli Kobak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1925-1926</td>
<td>John S. Coulter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924-1925</td>
<td>Curran Pope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1923-1924</td>
<td>Samuel B. Childs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The American Society of Neurorehabilitation (ASNR) is a medical specialty society established to advance the discipline of neurorehabilitation. The ASNR is committed to the prevention of further disability and disease in our patients and achievement of maximal functional outcomes, the advancement of scientific and technological innovations impacting neurologic disability and disease, the scientific understanding of neurologic injury and recovery, and the promotion of evidence-based clinical practice in this field. The ASNR works to promote basic science and clinical research in neurorehabilitation, evidence-based clinical practice, and access to healthcare and social opportunities for all individuals with chronic disabling neurologic conditions. Our educational mission includes specialty training in neurorehabilitation at the fellowship level and continuing medical education of neurorehabilitation professionals.

Learn more at [www.ASNR.com](http://www.ASNR.com)

### Mission Statement

The mission of the ASNR is to promote the medical and social well-being of persons with disabling neurological disorders, to advance training and research in the basic and clinical sciences that can lead to functional recovery of neurologically impaired persons, and to disseminate the knowledge of this research among professionals and the general public.

### The ASNR promotes:

- Specialty training and identification of those with expertise in neurorehabilitation
- Professional and public education
- Basic science and clinical research in neurorehabilitation
- Communication and collaboration with people with neurological disorders related organizations
- Mission of Neurorehabilitation Research

### ASNR Education Foundation

The American Society of Neurorehabilitation Research and Education Foundation (ASNRREF) was developed as a not-for-profit tax-exempt endowment fund to support research and education in neurorehabilitation. The Foundation was established in honor of Fletcher McDowell, MD, Labe Scheinberg, MD, and Norman Namerow, MD.

Fletcher McDowell MD focused on the care and recovery of patients following stroke. Labe Scheinberg MD established the first multidisciplinary Multiple Sclerosis care clinic and advocated for a similar approach at other MS clinics. Norman Namerow, MD was the primary advocate for multidisciplinary care of patients with traumatic brain injury. These three individuals actively lobbied within the American Academy of Neurology, and the American Neurologic Association to recruit additional support from within the field of Neurology. Their efforts led to the creation of the American Academy of Neurology Section on Neurorehabilitation in 1985, and eventually to the creation of the American Society of Neurorehabilitation in 1990.

The Neurorehabilitation Research and Education Fund is a vehicle for perpetuating their goals of applying Neuroscience to Neurorehabilitation. We solicit donations from our physician and allied health professional colleagues, as well as from patients and family members who would like to support Neurorehabilitation research and education.
ASNR Awards

Outstanding Neurorehabilitation Clinician-Scientist Award
The award, based on the evaluation of his or her peers, to mechanisms of neural repair, translational research from mechanisms of repair or clinical Neurorehabilitation. Nominations are invited from the membership of the American Society of Neurorehabilitation. The American Society of Neurorehabilitation Education Foundation Board of Directors, made up of Past Presidents of the American Society of Neurorehabilitation (ASNR) selects the recipient of the award.

2011 RECIPIENT: Randolph J. Nudo, PhD

Fellows of American Society of Neurorehabilitation
The title of Fellow of the American Society of Neurorehabilitation is reserved for individuals who have contributed significantly to the field of Neurorehabilitation, and also to the American Society of Neurorehabilitation.

2011 RECIPIENTS: Bruce H. Dobkin, MD and Michael E. Selzer, MD, PhD

Kenneth Viste, Jr., MD Memorial Lectureship
Kenneth M. Viste, Jr., MD was a tireless advocate for Neurorehabilitation and ASNR, and was active in the organization since its inception as President, Membership Committee Chair and a member of the Practice Issues Committee. ASNR honors his memory by presenting the award annually to an individual that has supported the mission and vision of ASNR.

2011 RECIPIENT: Michael Weinrich, MD

Presidential Award
The ASNR offers the Presidential Award for the best basic science poster presented by a student, resident, post-doctoral fellow, or a clinician within five years of training. The purpose of this award is to encourage research relevant to Neurorehabilitation by young clinicians and/or investigators enrolled in training programs relevant to Neurorehabilitation. While the contestant must be the senior author of the abstract, there are no restrictions on co-authorship.

Fletcher H. McDowell Award
The ASNR offers the Fletcher H. McDowell Award for the best basic science poster presented by a student, resident, or post-doctoral fellow, or a clinician within five years of training. The purpose of this award is to encourage research relevant to Neurorehabilitation by young clinicians and/or investigators enrolled in training programs relevant to Neurorehabilitation. While the contestant must be the senior author of the abstract, there are no restrictions on co-authorship.

ASNR Board of Directors

Executive Committee

PRESIDENT
Anna Barrett, MD

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT/
FOUNDATION PRESIDENT
Michael J. Reding, MD

VICE PRESIDENT
Krish Sathian, MD

TREASURER / SECRETARY
George Wittenberg, MD, PhD

Board Members

Gary Abrams, MD
David Alexander, MD
Cynthia Danells, PT
Judith Deutsch, PhD, PT
Gail Ann Eskes, PhD
Albert Lo, MD, PhD
Randolph Nudo, PhD
Stephen Page, PhD
Keith Tansey, MD, PhD
Robert Wagenaar, PhD
Carolee Winston, PhD, PT, FAPTA
Steven Wolf, PhD
Stuart Yablon, MD
Nathan Zasler, MD
Bruce Dobkin, MD (Editor-in-Chief)

ASNR Committees

FELLOWSHIP
Jonathan Fellus, MD

FINANCE
Bruce Rubin, MD

LIAISON
Krish Sathian, MD, PhD

MEMBERSHIP
Barbara Weissman, MD

PRACTICE
Jeff Samuels, MD

PROGRAM
Robert Wagenaar, PhD

WEBSITE
Aninda B. Acharya, MD/MSPH
10 Reasons to Publish in the Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

1. **Highly Cited**: The *Archives* is the most highly cited journal in Rehabilitation, has the highest Eigenfactor in the category, and has an Impact Factor that has increased annually since 2002. (2009 Journal Citation Reports®, Thomson Reuters)

2. **Reputation**: In 2009, the *Archives* received inclusion on the Special Library Association’s list, the Top 100 Journals in Biology & Medicine over the Last 100 Years.

3. **Quality**: An esteemed editorial board and team of peer reviewers ensure your article meets the highest of standards.

4. **Rapid publication**: On average, the time from submission to final decision is 3 months; the time from acceptance to publication is 4 months.

5. **High global visibility**: The *Archives* enjoys high international readership via ScienceDirect, a leading full-text scientific database, and a print circulation with nearly half of its subscribers outside the U.S.

6. **Expanding reach**: Nearly 2 million article downloads annually, with usage constantly increasing, ensures wide dissemination of your article.

7. **Accessibility**: Articles published in the *Archives* are widely and rapidly available via all standard bibliographic databases, including PubMed, Google and Scopus.

8. **Ease of publication**: An online submission system and peer review make publishing your manuscript simple and efficient.

9. **Flexibility**: Take advantage of our web capabilities which include enhancing your article in print with a podcast, video or additional material.

10. **Community**: Join the list of high-impact authors who have chosen to publish with us.

Submit your manuscript at [www.archives-pmr.org/authorinfo](http://www.archives-pmr.org/authorinfo)
Rehab JOB BOARD
CAREER NETWORK

The intuitive, interactive Rehab JOB BOARD, connects qualified rehabilitation professionals with today’s leading employers in the field of rehabilitation medicine.

Whether you’re an employer or a job seeker, Rehab JOB BOARD is your one-stop-shop. ACRM partners with the National Healthcare Career Network (NHCN) — linking job boards of leading healthcare associations and providing access to the largest database of rehabilitation-specific resumes and job postings in the U.S.

EMPLOYERS
- Quick online posting process
- Search qualified candidates by specific criteria
- Online resume access
- ACRM member discount
- 30-Day job posting packages starting as low as $250

JOBS SEEKERS
- FREE to job seekers
- Create your searchable resume in minutes!
- Browse and save job postings by your criteria
- Create search agent email alert of jobs matching your criteria

www.careers.acrm.org

ASNR Satellite: The New Science of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation
Keynote Speaker: Leonardo Cohen, MD of NINDS
Friday, November 11, 2011 • 8:30 am – 2:00 pm
Walter E. Washington Convention Center • Washington, DC

held in conjunction with the Society for Neuroscience 41st Annual Meeting

REGISTER ONLINE: www.asnr.com
See you next year...

2012 ACRM-ASNR Annual Conference
Progress in Rehabilitation Research

VANCOUVER BC
OCTOBER 2012

VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA
9-13 OCTOBER 2012
THE SHERATON VANCOUVER WALL CENTRE
Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta, recognized as one of the nation’s top children’s hospitals by Parent magazine and U.S. News and World Report, is currently seeking a Physiatrist for Children’s at Scottish Rite. This year, we welcomed a new attending and fellow, and we’re ready to add even more talent to this growing team.

Qualifications include:
• Board certified or board eligible in pediatric rehabilitation medicine
• BLS/PALS certification
• Proficiency in management of patients with cerebral palsy, spina bifida, traumatic brain injury, limb deficiency and traumatic/non-traumatic spinal cord injury
• Proficiency in EMG/Nerve Conduction Studies Botulinum Toxin injection, Intrathecal Baclofen Pump trial and management, and Phenol/Alcohol nerve blocks preferred
• Familiarity with oncological, complex musculoskeletal and cardiac rehab is a plus
• Familiarity with prosthetic, orthotic, durable medical equipment, seating and mobility devices preferred as well

We offer a competitive benefits package, including comprehensive insurance coverage, generous paid time off, relocation assistance, retirement and more. To express interest, please visit www.choa.org/jobs. You may also send CV to:

Riley Palmer
riley.palmer@choa.org
404-785-7819

YOU ENHANCE THEIR PERFORMANCE, WE’LL ENHANCE YOURS.
Pediatric Physiatrist needed in Atlanta, GA